SOLUTIONS

1. Binary Search Trees

This problem concerns a *buggy* implementation of the lookup and insert functions for binary search trees.

Although the implementations below are incorrect, there are still some inputs for which they do work correctly. Complete each of the test cases below to demonstrate that these implementations sometimes produce the correct answer and sometimes do not. Your answer will be always be an integer. These test cases all use the tree shown pictorially as

where Empty nodes are not shown, to avoid clutter.

```
a. (6 points)
```

PennKey: _____

ANSWER: This lookup function always returns false. Therefore, answers to the first test include any number not present in the tree, and for the second, any number in the tree.

b. (6 points)

```
let rec bad_insert (t:tree) (n:int) : tree =
 begin match t with
   | Empty -> Node(Empty, n, Empty)
   | Node(lt, x, rt) \rightarrow
    if x = n then t
    else if n < x then bad insert lt n
    else bad_insert rt n
 end
;; run_test "bad_insert_works" (fun () ->
    let x = ____
                         in
    bad insert t x = insert t x)
;; run_test "bad_insert_fails" (fun () ->
                        ___ in
    let x =
    not (bad_insert t x = insert t x))
```

ANSWER: This insert function only works for 5 (which is already in the tree).

2. Higher-order Functions

Recall the higher-order list processing functions:

Each part of this problem below begins with a sample function written using simple recursion over lists, followed by several alternatives written using transform or fold. In each part, mark *all* of the alternatives that implement the same behavior as the recursive sample. *There may be zero, one, or more than one such function.* Some of the alternatives may not typecheck—do not mark these.

PennKey: _

```
a. (6 points)
```

```
let rec strings_of_ints (lst: int list) : string list =
    begin match lst with
    | [] -> []
    | hd :: tl -> string_of_int hd :: strings_of_ints tl
    end
 \boxtimes
      let strings_of_ints (lst: int list) : string list =
        transform string_of_int lst
 \boxtimes
      let strings_of_ints (lst: int list) : string list =
        transform (fun s -> string_of_int s) lst
 \boxtimes
      let strings_of_ints (lst: int list) : string list =
        fold (fun s acc -> string_of_int s :: acc) [] lst
b. (6 points)
  let rec dupl (lst: 'a list) : 'a list =
    begin match lst with
     | [] -> []
     | x::xs -> x :: x :: dupl xs
    end
 \boxtimes
      let dupl (lst: 'a list) : 'a list =
        fold (fun x acc \rightarrow [x;x] @ acc) [] lst
 \boxtimes
      let dupl (lst: 'a list) : 'a list =
        fold (fun x acc -> x :: x :: acc) [] lst
 let dupl (lst: 'a list) : 'a list =
        transform (fun x \rightarrow (x, x)) lst
c. (6 points)
  let rec remove_all (n : 'a) (lst : 'a list) : 'a list =
    begin match lst with
     | [] -> []
     | h :: tl -> let rest = remove_all n tl in
                if h = n then rest else h :: rest
     end
 \boxtimes
      let remove_all (n: 'a) (lst: 'a list) : 'a list =
         fold (fun x acc -> if x = n then acc else x :: acc) [] lst
 let remove_all (n: 'a) (lst: 'a list) : 'a list =
         transform (fun x \rightarrow if x = n then [] else x) lst
 let remove_all (n: 'a) (lst: 'a list) : 'a list =
         fold (fun x acc -> if x = n then acc else lst) [] lst
```

PennKey: _

let remove_all (n: 'a) (lst: 'a list) : 'a list =
fold (fun x acc -> if x = n then acc else x :: acc) 0 lst

3. Types (16 points)

For each OCaml value below, fill in the blank for the type annotation or else write "ill typed" if there is a type error on that line. Your answer should be the *most generic* type for the value—i.e. if int list and bool list are both possible types of an expression, you should write 'a list.

We have done the first one for you.

Grading Scheme: 2 points each. 1 points partial credit for "close, but not quite"

4. Abstract Types, Invariants, and Modularity

A *priority queue* is a data structure whose job it is to maintain a collection of elements, each associated with a numeric *priority* telling how urgent it is. For example, the items in the queue could represent patients waiting to be seen by an emergency-room doctor and the priorities could indicate which patients require the most urgent attention. In this simplified presentation, priority queues come with just four operations:

PennKey: ____

- empty is a constant representing an empty priority queue;
- add takes a priority queue, a priority, and a new item, and adds the item and its priority to the queue;
- largest takes a queue and returns the item with the largest (= most urgent) priority;
- droplargest takes a queue and returns a new queue in which the item with the largest priority has been removed.

Here is a module giving a simple implementation of priority queues (the signature PRIQUEUE will be defined below):

```
module PriOueue : PRIOUEUE = struct
 type 'a priqueue = (int*'a) list
 let empty : 'a priqueue = []
 let rec add (pri: int) (item: 'a) (q: 'a priqueue) : 'a priqueue =
   begin match q with
   | [] -> [(pri,item)]
   | (pri',item')::q' ->
      if pri >= pri' then (pri,item) :: q
      else (pri',item') :: (add pri item q')
   end
 let largest (q: 'a priqueue) : 'a =
   begin match q with
   | [] -> failwith "largest called on empty priority queue"
   | (pri,item) :: q' -> item
   end
 let droplargest (q: 'a priqueue) : 'a priqueue =
   begin match q with
   | [] -> failwith "droplargest called on empty priority queue"
   | (pri,item) :: q' -> q'
   end
end
```

a. (4 points) The above implementation relies on an invariant to make sure that largest and droplargest can be answered very quickly. Briefly state this invariant in English:

The list is kept in sorted order, with largest priorities first.

b. Here are several alternative versions of the PRIQUEUE signature mentioned in the PriQueue implementation above. For each one, please indicate whether it is a good signature for this module or, if not, in what way it is not good.

i. (2 points)

PennKey: ____

```
module type PRIQUEUE = sig
type 'a priqueue
val empty : 'a priqueue
val add : int -> 'a -> 'a priqueue -> 'a priqueue
val remove : 'a -> 'a priqueue -> 'a priqueue
val largest : 'a priqueue -> 'a
val droplargest : 'a priqueue -> 'a priqueue
end
```

Circle one:

- A. Good (i.e., it is a reasonable interface for the module)
- **B.** Not useful (i.e., with this interface, clients cannot use the module to do anything nontrivial)
- C. Not safe (i.e., it allows clients to break the module's invariant)

D. Wrong (i.e., the PriQueue module will not compile with this signature) *Answer: Wrong*

ii. (2 points)

```
module type PRIQUEUE = sig
type 'a priqueue
val add : int -> 'a -> 'a priqueue -> 'a priqueue
val largest : 'a priqueue -> 'a
val droplargest : 'a priqueue -> 'a priqueue
end
```

Circle one:

- A. Good (i.e., it is a reasonable interface for the module)
- **B.** Not useful (i.e., with this interface, clients cannot use the module to do anything nontrivial)
- **C.** Not safe (i.e., it allows clients to break the module's invariant)

D. Wrong (i.e., the PriQueue module will not compile with this signature) *Answer: Not useful*

iii. (2 points)

```
module type PRIQUEUE = sig
type 'a priqueue
val empty : 'a priqueue
val add : int -> 'a -> (int * 'a) list -> 'a priqueue
val largest : 'a priqueue -> 'a
val droplargest : 'a priqueue -> 'a priqueue
end
```

Circle one:

A. Good (i.e., it is a reasonable interface for the module)

- **B.** Not useful (i.e., with this interface, clients cannot use the module to do anything nontrivial)
- C. Not safe (i.e., it allows clients to break the module's invariant)

D. Wrong (i.e., the PriQueue module will not compile with this signature) *Answer: Not safe*

iv. (2 points)

```
module type PRIQUEUE = sig
  type 'a priqueue
  val empty : 'a priqueue
  val add : int -> 'a -> 'a priqueue -> 'a priqueue
  val largest : 'a priqueue -> 'a
  val droplargest : 'a priqueue -> 'a priqueue
end
```

Circle one:

- A. Good (i.e., it is a reasonable interface for the module)
- **B.** Not useful (i.e., with this interface, clients cannot use the module to do anything nontrivial)
- C. Not safe (i.e., it allows clients to break the module's invariant)

D. Wrong (i.e., the PriQueue module will not compile with this signature) *Answer: Good*

c. (12 points) Another useful operation on priority queues is merge, which takes two priority queues and yields a new queue containing all the items (and their priorities) from both. A reasonable signaure for merge is:

merge : 'a priqueue -> 'a priqueue -> 'a priqueue

Here is a skeleton for an implementation of merge. Please fill in what's missing.

```
let rec merge (q1: 'a priqueue) (q2: 'a priqueue) : 'a priqueue =
begin match q1,q2 with
| [], _ -> q2
| _, [] -> q1
| (pri1,item1)::q1', (pri2,item2)::q2' ->
    if pri1 > pri2 then
        (pri1,item1) :: (merge q1' q2)
    else
        (pri2,item2) :: (merge q1 q2')
end
```

5. List Recursion and Program Design

For this problem, you will use the program design process to implement a function called $remove_n$. This function takes a list lst, an element item that may be in that list, perhaps multiple times, and a count n, and returns a list which is identical to the initial list, except that the first n instances of item have been removed. If there are less than n instances of item in lst, the function removes all instances from the list. If item isn't in the list, the returned list should be identical with the original list. The function should work on lists of any type.

a. (4 points) First, define the interface of your function. Write the type of your function as you might see it in a signature or .mli file.

val remove_n : 'a -> int -> 'a list -> 'a list

Grading Scheme: (From old question this is adapted from: One point deduction for non-generic types, no deduction for syntax errors or answers that are "almost" correct. If the type does not match the above, but it is consistent with general approach taken, then no deduction.)

b. (10 points) Now, write three *different* tests for remove_n. Put some thought into your answers; we will be grading your answers not just on correctness, but on how well your tests cover different aspects of its behavior. Don't forget to give each case a descriptive name. We've filled in one test for you.

```
;; run_test "remove_n from singleton list" (fun () ->
    remove_n "a" 1 ["a"] = [])
```

Some possible answers:

```
;;run_test "remove_n simple delete works" (fun () ->
    remove_n "a" 2 ["a";"b";"c";"a";"b"] = ["b";"c";"a";"b"])
;;run_test "remove_n deletes everything" (fun () ->
    remove_n "a" 10 ["a";"a"] = [])
;;run_test "remove_n item never appears in list" (fun () ->
    remove_n "b" 3 ["a";"a"] = ["a"; "a"])
;;run_test "remove_n count = 0" (fun () ->
    remove_n "a" 0 ["a";"a"] = ["a"; "a"])
```

Grading Scheme: We are looking for test cases that correctly specify the behavior of the helper function and exhaustively test it. A good test suite includes tests along these lines:

- at least one test that returns a vanilla answer from remove_n
- at least one test where one of the inputs is nil, or is 0.
- at least one test where the original list is returned verbatim.

Points were deducted for test cases that were otherwise redundant.

The tests must also be correct. Here correctness means that they be consistent with the description of the function in part (a) and the definition of the function in part (c).

PennKey: _

c. (16 points) Now, *implement* your function in the space provided below.

Grading Scheme: TBD.