# CIS 120 Midterm I September 27, 2019

Name (printed):	
PennKey (penn login id):	
My signature below certifies that I have complied work of Academic Integrity in completing this examination.	vith the University of Pennsylvania's Code
Signature:	Date:

- Please wait to begin the exam until you are told it is time for everyone to start.
- When you begin, please start by writing your username (a.k.a. PennKey, e.g., swapneel) clearly at the bottom of *every page*.
- There are 100 total points. The exam lasts 50 minutes. There are 11 pages in the exam.
- Do not spend too much time on any one question. Be sure to recheck all of your answers.
- There is a separate Appendix for your reference. Answers written in the Appendix will not be graded.
- The last page of the exam can be used as scratch space. By default, we will ignore anything you write on this page. If you write something that you want us to grade, make sure you mark it clearly as an answer to a problem *and* write a clear note on the page with that problem telling us to look at the scratch page.
- Good luck!

## **1. Types (16 points)**

For each OCaml value below, fill in the blank for the type annotation or else write "ill typed" if there is a type error on that line. Your answer should be the *most generic* type that OCaml would infer for the value—i.e., if int list and bool list are both possible types of an expression, you should write 'a list.

Some of these expressions refer to the variable z (which is defined in the example at the top), to the functions transform and fold (whose definitions can be found on page 13), or to the constructors of the type 'a tree, which is defined as:

```
type 'a tree =
   | Leaf of 'a list * int
   | Node of 'a tree * int * 'a tree
```

We have done the first one (z) for you. (2 points each)

```
let z : _____int tree ____ =
  Leaf([1], 26)
```

## 2. List Processing and Higher-Order Functions (24 points total)

Recall the higher-order list processing functions shown in Appendix A.

For these problems *do not* use any list library functions other than @ (list append). Constructors, such as :: and [], are fine.

(a) (6 points) Use transform or fold, along with suitable anonymous function(s), to implement a function flatten that takes in a 'a list list and returns a 'a list. It should remove one "nesting" level for the lists. For example, the call flatten [[1; 2]; [3; 4]] evaluates to the list [1; 2; 3; 4] and the call flatten [[[1]; [2]]; [[]]] evaluates to the list [[1]; [2]; []].

```
let flatten (l : 'a list list) : 'a list =
```

(b) (6 points) Consider the following recursive function:

```
let rec f (x: 'a) (g: 'a -> 'a -> int) (l: 'a list) : int =
  begin match l with
  | [] -> 0
  | h :: t -> g h x + f x g t
  end
```

Rewrite the above function using transform or fold.

```
let f (x: 'a) (g: 'a -> 'a -> int) (l: 'a list) : int =
```

(c) (12 points) Consider a function that squares all the integers in a given list. If the input to that function is the list x shown below, the result should be the list y.

```
let x : int list = [1; 2; 3; 4]
let y : int list = [1; 4; 9; 16]
```

Which of the following functions will typecheck and produce the correct answer? (Mark all that apply.)

```
□ let rec squares (l : int list) : int list =
    begin match l with
    | [] -> []
    | hd::tl -> (hd * hd) :: (squares tl)
    end
□ let rec squares (l : int list) : int list =
        transform (fun (x : int) -> x * x) l
□ let rec squares (l : int list) : int list =
        transform (fun (x : int) -> [x * x]) l
□ let rec squares (l : int list) : int list =
        fold (fun (x : int) (acc : int list) -> x * x) [] l
□ let rec squares (l : int list) : int list =
        fold (fun (x : int) (acc : int list) -> [x * x]) [] l
□ let rec squares (l : int list) : int list =
        fold (fun (x : int) (acc : int list) -> x * x :: acc) [] l
```

## 3. Modules and Abstract Types (40 points total)

**Step 1: Understand the Problem** The standard list operations like length, append, and nth take time proportional to the size of the (first) list argument. As a reminder, nth lst n finds the n<sup>th</sup> element of the list lst by counting from the head (starting at 0) towards the tail one element at a time. For instance, nth [0;1;2;3] 0 evaluates to 0 and nth [0;1;2;3] 2 evaluates to 2. If nth is given an index greater than (or equal to) the length of the list, it fails.

For your reference, Appendix B gives the usual implementations of these operations, found in the List module. Sometimes these are too slow for the task at hand. In this problem we consider how to combine trees and lists to more efficiently implement them.

**Step 2: Design the Interface** The signature below defines an abstract type 'a rope and operations on it. A rope, like a list, stores a sequence of data elements.

```
module type ROPE = sig
  type 'a rope
val from_list : 'a list -> 'a rope
val to_list : 'a rope -> 'a list
val append : 'a rope -> 'a rope -> 'a rope
val length : 'a rope -> int
val nth : 'a rope -> int -> 'a
end
```

The *properties* of the ROPE interface are the same as those for the corresponding list operations—in that regard, a rope is "just" a different implementation of the list abstract type. This means that a functionally correct implementation of this interface is:

```
module ListRope : ROPE = struct
  type 'a rope = 'a list

let from_list (l : 'a list) : 'a rope = l
  let to_list (r : 'a rope) : 'a list = r
  let length (r : 'a rope) : int = List.length r
  let append (lr : 'a rope) (rr : 'a rope) : 'a rope = List.append lr rr
  let nth (r : 'a rope) (n : int) : 'a = List.nth r n
end
```

(a) (5 points) Which of the following properties hold of ListRope? Assume we have done ;; open ListRope to import the definitions above, that r, r1, and r2 refer to arbitrary values of type 'a rope, and 1st is a 'a list. (Mark all that apply.)

$length r = List.length (to_list r)$
If to_list $r = lst then nth r n = List.nth lst n$
length (append r1 r2) = (length r1) + (length r2)
${ m If}$ (n < length r1) ${ m then}$ nth (append r1 r2) n = nth r1 n
If $(n \ge 1)$ length r1) then nth (append r1 r2) n = nth r2 n

**Step 3: Define Test Cases** (8 points) Our more efficient rope implementation, called TreeRope, should satisfy the same properties as ListRope. Complete each of the test cases below by filling in the blanks with identifiers r0, r1, r2, r3, or r4 so that each test succeeds.

```
;; open TreeRope
let r0 = from_list [0;1;2]
let r1 = from_list [3;4]
let r2 = from_list [5;6;7;8]
let r3 = append r0 (append r1 r2)
let r4 = append (append r1 r1) r1
 (a) let test () =
      to_list ____ = [0;1;2;3;4;5;6;7;8]
    ;; run_test "test1" test
 (b) let test () =
      nth _{2} 2 = 7
    ;; run_test "test2" test
 (c) let test () =
      nth 	 2 = 0
    ;; run_failing_test "test3" test
(d) let test () =
       nth (append r0 r1) 4 = \text{nth r1} (4 - \text{length} \underline{\hspace{1cm}})
    ;; run_test "test4" test
```

PennKey:

**Step 4: Implement the Code** To implement these list operations more efficiently, we choose a different representation based on binary trees, encapsulated in a module named TreeRope. The module declaration and tree type are shown below.

```
module TreeRope : ROPE = struct

type 'a tree =
    | Leaf of 'a list * int
    | Node of 'a tree * int * 'a tree

type 'a rope = 'a tree
```

We first make the append operation faster. If we already have a list, we can treat it as a rope by storing it directly in Leaf. If we want to append two ropes, we simply join them with a Node constructor, which, unlike List.append doesn't require traversing either list. The main idea is that **each leaf of the tree contains only part of the complete sequence of data stored in the rope**—to convert a tree into the corresponding list, we append all the lists at the leaves using in order traversal. This code is shown below:

To accelerate the length and nth operations, we store extra information in the tree. Each leaf, in addition to the (partial) list data, also stores the length of that piece; the length is computed just once when the leaf is created, so repeatedly asking for length information about the leaf data doesn't require repeated traversals of the list at the leaf. Moreover, the total length of the lists in its left child are stored at the node. Finally, there is no point in storing lots of leaves that contain the empty list, so we require that every left subtree have size strictly greater than 0 (which means its leaves can't contain just empty lists). Stated as invariants, we have:

#### **Rope Invariants**

A value r : 'a rope satisfies the rope invariants if:

- ris Leaf(lst, n) and List.length lst = n, or
- ris Node(lt, n, rt) and
  - n > 0 and n is the total length of all the lists stored at the leaves in 1t
  - 1t and rt both recursively satisfy the rope invariants

(Nothing to do on this page.)

tion for the length operation on ropes? let rec length (t : 'a tree) : int = begin match t with | Leaf (1,\_) -> 0 | Node (\_, \_, rt) -> 1 + length rt end let rec length (t : 'a tree) : int = begin match t with  $\mid$  Leaf (1,x)  $\rightarrow$  x  $\mid$  Node (lt, x,  $\_$ )  $\rightarrow$  x + length lt end let rec length (t : 'a tree) : int = begin match t with  $\mid$  Leaf (1,x)  $\rightarrow$  x  $\mid$  Node (\_, x, rt)  $\rightarrow$  x + length rt end (b) (2 points) Given the invariants above, there is a unique value r: int rope such that to\_list r = [].  $\square$  True □ False (c) (2 points) Given the invariants above, there is a unique value r : int rope such that to\_list r = [2].  $\square$  True □ False (d) (2 points) Given the invariants above, there is a unique value r: int rope such that to\_list r = [2;3;4].  $\square$  True □ False

(a) (3 points) Given the invariants above, which of the following is a correct implementa-

Complete the code for each of the following operations that build rope trees. In each case, ensure that the resulting tree satisfies the rope invariants. You may use List.length to refer to the list version of length and just length to refer to the rope version defined above. Do *not* use List.append (or @) in this implementation. Note that neither operation below is recursive!

```
let from_list (l : 'a list) : 'a tree =

(f) (6 points)
    let append (lt : 'a tree) (rt : 'a tree) : 'a tree =
```

Complete the code for the rope version of the nth operation. Your implementation should exploit the rope invariants as much as possible. You may use List.nth to refer the list version of nth. Note that this function is recursive!

end

(e) (4 points)

#### 4. Binary Search Trees (20 points total)

This problem concerns *buggy* implementations of the lookup and insert functions for binary search trees, the correct versions of which are shown in Appendix C. Note that this problem refers to the 'a tree type defined there.

First: At most one of the lines of code contains a *compile-time* (*i.e.*, *typechecking*) error. If there is a compile-time error, explain what the error is and one way to fix it. If there is no compile-time error, say "No Error".

Second: even after the compile-time error (if any) is fixed, the code is still buggy—for some inputs the function works correctly and produces the correct answer, and for other inputs, the function produces an incorrect answer.

where, as usual, Empty constructors are not shown, to avoid clutter.

- (a) (2 points) Tree  $\pm$  satisfies the BST invariants:  $\Box$  True  $\Box$  False
- (b) (9 points)

```
let rec bad lookup (t: int tree) (n: int) : bool =
     begin match t with
3
       | Empty -> t
4
       | Node(lt, x, rt) ->
5
         if n = x then true
6
         else if n > x then bad_lookup lt n
7
         else bad_lookup rt n
8
      end
    Compile Error on line _____: ____
    Fix for Compile Error: _____
```

Complete each of the test cases with an int value for x so that the test passes, demonstrating that this implementation sometimes produces the correct answers and sometimes does not. Both of the test cases must use the tree t shown pictorially above.

```
;; run_test "bad_lookup_works_correctly" (fun () ->
    let x = _____ in
    bad_lookup t x = lookup t x)

;; run_test "bad_lookup_computes_wrong_answer" (fun () ->
    let x = ____ in
    not (bad_lookup t x = lookup t x))
```

```
(c) (9 points)
```

For the test cases below, draw pictures of Binary Search Trees t1 and t2 where bad\_insert works correctly and incorrectly respectively, demonstrating that this implementation sometimes produces the correct answers and sometimes does not.

```
n: int =
t1: int tree =

Works Incorrectly
n: int =
```

t2 : int tree =

Works Correctly

PennKey:

# **Scratch Space**

Use this page for work that you do not want us to grade. If you run out of space elsewhere in the exam and you <b>do</b> want to put something here that we should grade, make sure to put a clear no on the page for the problem in question.	
PennKey:	12