# Programming Languages and Techniques (CIS120)

Lecture 9

Lists and Higher-order functions

Lecture notes: Chapter 9

## **Announcements**

- Homework 3 available
  - Due next Tuesday at 11:59 pm
  - Practice with BSTs, generic functions, first-class functions and abstract types
  - Start early!
- Reading: Chapters 8, 9, and 10 of the lecture notes
- Midterm 1: Friday, September 27<sup>th</sup>
  - Coverage: up to Monday, Sept. 23 (Chs. 1-10)
  - During lecture (001 @ 11am, 002 @ noon)

Last names: A – L Leidy Labs 10

Last names: M - Z Stitler (STIT) B6

# Anonymous, First-class Functions

fun  $(x : T_{in}) \rightarrow e$ 

## Named function values

A standard function definition...

```
let add_one (x:int) : int = x+1

really has two parts:

let add_one : int->int = fun (x:int) -> x+1

define a name for the value
create a function value
```

The two definitions have the same type and behave exactly the same. (The first is actually just an abbreviation for the second.)

## **Function Types**

Functions have types that look like this:

• Examples:

## **Function Types**

Functions have types that look like this:

• Examples:

```
int -> int
int -> int
int -> (bool * int)
int -> (int -> int)
int -> (int -> int)
int int input

(int -> int) -> int
int input
```

# **Function Types**

Hang on... did we just say that

and

mean the same thing??

Yes!

# Multiple Arguments

We can decompose a standard function definition

let sum (x : int) (y:int) : int = x + y

into parts

let sum = fun (x:int) -> fun (y:int) -> x + y

define a variable with that value

create a function value

that returns a function value

The two definitions have the same type and behave exactly the same

let sum : int -> int -> int

## Partial Application

```
let sum (x : int) (y:int) : int = x + y
```

```
sum 3 \mapsto (fun (x:int) -> fun (y:int) -> x + y) 3 definition \mapsto fun (y:int) -> 3 + y substitute 3 for x
```

## What is the value of this expression?

A. 1

let f (x:bool) (y:int) : int =
 if x then 1 else y in
f true

B. True

C. fun (y:int) -> if true then 1 else y

D. fun (x:bool) -> if x then 1 else y

#### What is the value of this expresssion?

```
let f (x:bool) (y:int) : int =
   if x then 1 else y in
f true
```

- 1.1
- 2. true
- 3. fun (y:int) -> if true then 1 else y
- 4. fun (x:bool) -> if x then 1 else y

## What is the value of the this expression?

```
let f (g : int->int) (y: int) : int =
    g 1 + y in

f (fun (x:int) -> x + 1) 3
```

23456

#### What is the value of this expression?

```
let f (g : int->int) (y: int) : int =
   g 1 + y in

f (fun (x:int) -> x + 1) 3
```

- 1.1
- 2.2
- 3.3
- 4.4
- 5.5

## What is the type of this expression?

```
let f (g : int->int) (y: int) : int =
   g 1 + y in

f (fun (x:int) -> x + 1)
```

1. int

2. int -> int

3. int -> int -> int

4. (int -> int)
-> int -> int

5. Ill-typed

#### What is the type of this expression?

```
let f (g : int->int) (y: int) : int =
    g 1 + y in

f (fun (x:int) -> x + 1)
```

- 1. int
- 2. int -> int
- 3. int -> int -> int
- 4. (int -> int) -> int -> int
- 5. ill-typed

## List transformations

A fundamental design pattern using first-class functions

# Phone book example

```
type entry = string * int
let phone_book = [ ("Pat", 2155559092); ... ]
let rec get_names (p : entry list) : string list =
  begin match p with
  | ((name, num)::rest) -> name :: get_names rest
  end
let rec get_numbers (p : entry list) : int list =
  begin match p with
  | ((name, num)::rest) -> num :: get_numbers rest
  end
```

Can we use first-class functions to refactor code to share common structure?

# Refactoring

```
let rec helper (f: entry->'b) (p: entry list) : 'b list =
  begin match p with
  | (e::rest) -> f e :: helper f rest
  | [] -> []
  end

let get_names (p: entry list) : string list =
  helper fst p
let get_numbers (p: entry list) : int list =
  helper snd p
```

fst and snd are functions that access the parts of a tuple: let fst (x,y) = xlet snd (x,y) = y

The argument f determines what happens with the entry at the head of the list

# Going even more generic

```
let rec helper (f: entry->'b) (p: entry list) : 'b list =
  begin match p with
  | (e::rest) -> f e :: helper f rest
  | [] -> []
  end

let get_names (p: entry list) : string list =
  helper fst p
let get_numbers (p: entry list) : int list =
  helper snd p
```

Now let's make it work for *all* lists, not just lists of entries...

# Going even more generic

```
let rec helper (f: 'a->'b) (p: 'a list) : 'b list =
  begin match p with
  | (e::rest) -> f e :: helper f rest
  | [] -> []
  end

let get_names (p: entry list) : string list =
  helper fst p
let get_numbers (p: entry list) : int list =
  helper snd p
```

'a stands for (string\*int)
'b stands for int

snd : (string\*int) -> int

# **Transforming Lists**

```
let rec transform (f: 'a->'b) (l:'a list) : 'b list =
  begin match l with
  | []   -> []
  | h::t -> (f h)::(transform f t)
  end
```

#### List transformation

(a.k.a. "mapping a function across a list")

- foundational function for programming with lists
- used over and over again
- part of OCaml standard library (called List.map)

<sup>\*</sup>many languages (including OCaml) use the terminology "map" for the function that transforms a list by applying a function to each element. Don't confuse List.map with "finite map".

## What is the value of this expresssion?

[1]

[0; -1; 1; -2]

[1; 1; 0; 1]

[false; false; true; false]

> runtime error

What is the value of this expresssion?

```
transform (fun (x:int) -> x > 0)
[0; -1; 1; -2]
```

- 1. [0; -1; 1; -2]
- 2. [1]
- 3. [1; 1; 0; 1]
- 4. [false; false; true; false]
- 5. runtime error

ANSWER: 4

# The 'fold' design pattern

# Refactoring code, again

Is there a pattern in the definition of these two functions?

```
let rec exists (l : bool list) : bool =
   begin match 1 with
      「] -> false ←
     h :: t -> h || exists t
                                                     base case:
   end
                                                     Simple answer when
                                                     the list is empty
let rec acid_length (l : acid list) : int =
   begin match 1 with
                                                     combine step:
                                                     Do something with
   | h :: t \rightarrow 1 + acid_length t
                                                     the head of the list
   end
                                                     and the result of the
                                                     recursive call
```

Can we factor out this pattern using first-class functions?

# Preparation

```
let rec exists (l : bool list) : bool =
   begin match l with
   | [] -> false
   | h :: t -> h || exists t
   end
```

```
let rec acid_length (l : acid list) : int =
  begin match l with
  | [] -> 0
  | h :: t -> 1 + acid_length t
  end
```

## Preparation

```
let rec helper (l : bool list) : bool =
   begin match l with
   | [] -> false
   | h :: t -> h || helper t
   end

let exists (l : bool list) = helper l
```

```
let rec helper (l : acid list) : int =
   begin match l with
   | [] -> 0
   | h :: t -> 1 + helper t
   end

let acid_length (l : acid list) = helper l
```

## Abstracting with respect to Base

```
let rec helper (l : bool list) : bool =
    begin match l with
    | [] -> false
    | h :: t -> h || helper t
    end

let exists (l : bool list) = helper l
```

```
let rec helper (l : acid list) : int =
   begin match l with
   | [] -> 0
   | h :: t -> 1 + helper t
   end

let acid_length (l : acid list) = helper l
```

## Abstracting with respect to Base

```
let rec helper (base : bool) (l : bool list) : bool =
   begin match l with
   | [] -> base
   | h :: t -> h || helper base t
   end

let exists (l : bool list) = helper false l
```

```
let rec helper (base : int) (l : acid list) : int =
   begin match l with
   | [] -> base
   | h :: t -> 1 + helper base t
   end

let acid_length (l : acid list) = helper 0 l
```

## Abstracting with respect to Combine

```
let rec helper (base : bool) (l : bool list) : bool =
    begin match l with
    | [] -> base
    | h :: t -> h || helper base t
    end

let exists (l : bool list) = helper false l
```

```
let rec helper (base : int) (l : acid list) : int =
   begin match l with
   | [] -> base
   | h :: t -> 1 + helper base t
   end

let acid_length (l : acid list) = helper 0 l
```

## Abstracting with respect to Combine

```
let rec helper (base : bool) (l : bool list) : bool =
   begin match l with
   | [] -> base
   | h :: t -> h || helper base t
   end

let exists (l : bool list) = helper false l
```

```
let rec helper (base : int) (l : acid list) : int =
   begin match l with
   | [] -> base
   | h :: t -> 1 + helper base t
   end

let acid_length (l : acid list) = helper 0 l
```

## Abstracting with respect to Combine

```
let rec helper (combine : bool -> bool)
              (base : bool) (l : bool list) : bool =
  begin match 1 with
   | [] -> base
   | h :: t -> combine h (helper combine base t)
  end
let exists (l : bool list) =
 helper (fun (h:bool) (acc:bool) -> h || acc) false l
let rec helper (combine : acid -> int -> int)
              (base : int) (l : acid list) : int =
  begin match 1 with
   | h :: t -> combine h (helper combine base t)
  end
let acid_length (l : acid list) =
   helper (fun (h:acid) (acc:int) -> 1 + acc) 0 l
```

# Making the Helper Generic

```
let rec helper (combine : 'a -> 'b -> 'b)
              (base : 'b) (l : 'a list) : 'b =
  begin match 1 with
   | [] -> base
   | h :: t -> combine h (helper combine base t)
  end
let exists (l : bool list) =
 helper (fun (h:bool) (acc:bool) -> h || acc) false l
let rec helper (combine : 'a -> 'b -> 'b)
              (base : 'b) (l : 'a list) : 'b =
  begin match 1 with
   | h :: t -> combine h (helper combine base t)
  end
 let acid_length (l : acid list) =
   helper (fun (h:acid) (acc:int) -> 1 + acc) 0 l
```

## List Fold

- fold (a.k.a. "reduce")
  - Like transform, foundational function for programming with lists
  - Captures the pattern of recursion over lists
  - Also part of OCaml standard library (List.fold\_right)
  - Similar operations for other recursive datatypes (fold\_tree)

## Rewrite using fold

How would you rewrite this function

```
let rec sum (l : int list) : int =
  begin match l with
  | [] -> 0
  | h :: t -> h + sum t
  end
```

using fold? What should be the arguments for base and combine?

- 1. combine is: (fun (h:int) (acc:int) -> acc + 1) base is:
- 2. combine is: (fun (h:int) (acc:int) -> h + acc) base is:
- 3. combine is: (fun (h:int) (acc:int) -> h + acc) base is:
- 4. sum can't be written with fold.

1

2

3

\_

#### How would you rewrite this function

```
let rec sum (l : int list) : int =
  begin match l with
  | [] -> 0
  | h :: t -> h + sum t
  end
```

using fold? What should be the arguments for base and combine?

- 1. combine is: (fun (h:int) (acc:int) -> acc + 1) base is: 0
- 2. combine is: (fun (h:int) (acc:int) -> h + acc) base is: 0
- 3. combine is: (fun (h:int) (acc:int) -> h + acc) base is: 1
- 4. sum can't be written with fold.

Answer: 2

## Rewrite using fold

```
    1
    2
    3
    4
```

#### How would you rewrite this function

```
let rec reverse (l : int list) : int list =
   begin match l with
   | [] -> []
   | h :: t -> reverse t @ [h]
   end
```

using fold? What should be the arguments for base and combine?

- 1. combine is: (fun (h:int) (acc:int list) -> h :: acc)
   base is: 0
- 2. combine is: (fun (h:int) (acc:int list) -> acc @ [h])
   base is: 0
- 3. combine is: (fun (h:int) (acc:int list) -> acc @ [h])
   base is: []
- 4. reverse can't be written by with fold.

Answer: 3

## **Functions as Data**

- We've seen a number of ways in which functions can be treated as data in OCaml
- Everyday programming practice offers many more examples
  - objects bundle "functions" (a.k.a. methods) with data
  - iterators ("cursors" for walking over data structures)
  - event listeners (in GUIs)
  - etc.
- Also heavily used at "large scale": Google's MapReduce
  - Framework for transforming (mapping) sets of key-value pairs
  - Then "reducing" the results per key of the map
  - Easily distributed to 10,000 machines to execute in parallel!