### CIS 1210—Data Structures and Algorithms—Fall 2024

Huffman Coding—Tuesday, October 8 / Wednesday, October 9

## Readings

• [Lecture Notes Chapter 15: Huffman Coding](https://www.cis.upenn.edu/~cis1210/current/lectures/notes.pdf#page=86)

# Review: Huffman Coding

The motivation behind Huffman Coding is to encode and decode characters as bits, minimizing the average bits per letter (ABL). Furthermore, we seek a prefix-free code, where no encoding is a prefix of another – implying that a bit sequence can be parsed and decoded without any ambiguity.

The Huffman algorithm is a greedy algorithm that does this. Given a set of characters and their frequencies, the algorithm outputs an encoding by repeatedly merging the 2 nodes with the smallest frequency values until only one node remains. This one node is the root of the Huffman tree, whose leaves are characters and each root-to-leaf path is an encoding of that character. Furthermore, this tree is a full binary tree, where each internal node has exactly 2 children. Therefore, the Huffman algorithm produces an optimal and prefix-free encoding that minimizes the ABL.

The running time of the Huffman algorithm is  $O(n \log n)$  if we utilize a min-heap to find the 2 nodes with minimum frequency in each step, as seen in the [pseudocode.](https://www.cis.upenn.edu/~cis1210/current/lectures/notes.pdf#page=95) This is because at each step, we perform a constant number of EXTRACT-MIN and INSERT operations, which take  $O(\log n)$  time, and we repeat this for  $O(n)$  iterations.

# Problems

### Problem 1

Construct an optimal Huffman coding for the following alphabet and frequency table S:



What is the ABL, or average bits per letter, for this encoding?

#### Solution

The following tree T would be produced:

 $/\setminus$  $A / \Lambda$  $B / \Lambda$ C /\ E D

$$
ABL(T) = \sum_{x \in S} f_x \cdot \text{depth}_T(x) = 0.4 \cdot 1 + 0.3 \cdot 2 + 0.15 \cdot 3 + 0.1 \cdot 4 + 0.05 \cdot 4 = 2.05
$$

### Problem 2

You have an alphabet with  $n > 2$  letters and frequencies. You perform Huffman encoding on this alphabet, and notice that the character with the largest frequency is encoded by just a 0. In this alphabet, symbol i occurs with probability  $p_i$ ;  $p_1 \geq p_2 \geq p_3 \geq ... \geq p_n$ .

Given this alphabet and encoding, does there exist an assignment of probabilities to  $p_1$  through  $p_n$  such that  $p_1 < \frac{1}{3}$ ? Justify your answer.

#### Solution

There does not exist an assignment of probabilities to  $p_1$  through  $p_n$  such that  $p_1 < \frac{1}{3}$ . Assume for the sake of contradiction that there exists an assignment such that  $p_1 < \frac{1}{3}$ . Consider the last step of the Huffman algorithm when our two final nodes are merged into one node. Let these two final nodes be  $x$  and  $y$ . Because character 1, which has the highest frequency, has an encoding length of 1, it must have been merged via this step. WLOG, let x be this character 1. Since this is the final step of Huffman, we know  $p_x + p_y = 1$ . From our assumption that  $p_1 < \frac{1}{3}$ , we know  $p_y > \frac{2}{3}$ .

Because  $n > 2$ , y must be a node representing at least 2 characters. Consider the time when y was created. Let the nodes that were merged to become y be a and b. We know  $p_a + p_b > \frac{2}{3}$ , which implies that  $max\{p_a, p_b\} > \frac{1}{3}$ . Here, we have reached a contradiction. Huffman always merges the two smallest frequency nodes, but when y was created, node x with  $p_x < \frac{1}{3}$  was still available and unmerged. Hence, x would have been chosen instead of  $max\{a, b\}$ . Therefore, via contradiction, we have proved that the original claim is false and thus that there does not exist an assignment of probabilities as specified.