CIS 190: C/C++ Programming

Lecture 13 Templates

Outline

- Overloading Functions
- Templates
 - Function Templates
 - Compiler Handling & Separate Compilation
- Class Templates
 - Declaring
 - Constructors
 - Defining
 - Using
- Project

Overloading

- used to create multiple definitions for functions in various settings:
 - constructors (in a class)
 - operators (in a class)
 - functions
- let's look at a simple swap function

this is a function to swap two integers:
 void SwapVals(int &v1, int &v2) {
 int temp;

}

this is a function to swap two integers:
 void SwapVals(int &v1, int &v2) {
 int temp;

$$temp = v1;$$

what if we want to swap two floats?

$$v1 = v2;$$

}

v2 = temp;

this is a function to swap two floats:
 void SwapVals(float &v1, float &v2) {
 float temp;

}

this is a function to swap two floats:
 void SwapVals(float &v1, float &v2) {
 float temp;

$$temp = v1;$$

what if we want to swap two chars?

$$v1 = v2;$$

}

v2 = temp;

this is a function to swap two chars:
 void SwapVals(char &v1, char &v2) {
 char temp;

}

this is a function to swap two chars:
 void SwapVals(char &v1, char &v2) {
 char temp;

temp = v1;

what if we want to swap two strings?

v1 = v2;

}

v2 = temp;

• okay, this is getting ridiculous

- okay, this is getting ridiculous
- should be able to write just one function that can handle all of these things
 - and it is!
 - using templates

Outline

- Overloading Functions
- Templates
 - Function Templates
 - Compiler Handling & Separate Compilation
- Class Templates
 - Declaring
 - Constructors
 - Defining
 - Using
- Project

What Are Templates?

- templates let us create functions and classes that can use "generic" input and types
- this means that functions like
 SwapVals() only need to be written once

- and then it can be used for almost anything

 to let the compiler know you are going to apply a template, use the following: template <class T>

• to let the compiler know you are going to apply a template, use the following:

template <class T>

this keyword tells the compiler that what follows this will be a template

• to let the compiler know you are going to apply a template, use the following:

template <class T>

this **does not** mean "class" in the same sense as C++ classes with members!

 to let the compiler know you are going to apply a template, use the following:

template <class T>

this **does not** mean "class" in the same sense as C++ classes with members! in fact, the (more) correct keyword to use is actually "**typename**", because we are defining a new type

• to let the compiler know you are going to apply a template, use the following:

template <class T>

this does not mean "class" in the same sense as C++ classes with members!	in fact, the (more) correct keyword to use is actually "typopamo" bocauso wo		
	دت are	are defining a new type	
		but " class " is more cor	nmon
		by far, and so we will use to avoid confusion	class

• to let the compiler know you are going to apply a template, use the following:



 to let the compiler know you are going to apply a template, use the following:



 to let the compiler know you are going to apply a template, use the following:



 to let the compiler know you are going to apply a template, use the following: template <class T>

 what this line means overall is that we plan to use "T" in place of types (int, char, etc.)

 to let the compiler know you are going to apply a template, use the following: template <class T>

- what this line means overall is that we plan to use "T" in place of types (int, char, etc.)
- this *template prefix* needs to be used before both function declarations and definitions

Outline

- Overloading Functions
- Templates
 - Function Templates
 - Compiler Handling & Separate Compilation
- Class Templates
 - Declaring
 - Constructors
 - Defining
 - Using
- Project

template <class T>

 in order to create a function that uses templates, we first prefix it with this

template <class T>
void SwapVals(T &v1, T &v2) {

}

 next, we use "T" in place of the types that we want to be "generic" for our function

```
template <class T>
void SwapVals(T &v1, T &v2) {
   T temp;
```

}

 if we need these "generic" types inside our function, we declare them as being type "T"

```
template <class T>
void SwapVals(T &v1, T &v2) {
   T temp;
   temp = v1;
   v1 = v2;
   v2 = temp;
```

 everything else about our function can remain the same

}

 when we call these templated functions, nothing looks different:

SwapVals(intOne, intTwo);
SwapVals(charOne, charTwo);
SwapVals(strOne, strTwo);

(In)valid Use of Templates

• which of the following will work? SwapVals(int, int); SwapVals(char, string); SwapVals(TRAIN CAR, TRAIN CAR); SwapVals(double, float); SwapVals(Shape, Shape); SwapVals("hello", "world");

(In)valid Use of Templates

- templated functions can handle any input types that "makes sense"
 - i.e., any type where the behavior that occurs in the function is defined
- even user-defined types!

- as long as the behavior is defined

Question from Class

- Q: What will happen if we overload SwapVals() manually for a specific type (like int)?
- A: The compiler accepts it, and a call to SwapVals() with integers will default to our manual overload of the function.
 - It makes sense that if an int version of SwapVals() exists, the compiler will not create one of its own.

Outline

- Overloading Functions
- Templates
 - Function Templates
 - Compiler Handling & Separate Compilation
- Class Templates
 - Declaring
 - Constructors
 - Defining
 - Using
- Project

Compiler Handling

 the compiler can create code to handle any (valid) call of SwapVals() we can create

 it creates separate (overloaded) functions called SwapVals() that take in ints, or chars, or floats, or TRAIN_CAR structs, or anything else that we could give

Compiler Handling

 exactly what versions of SwapVals() are created is determined at ______ time

Compiler Handling

- exactly what versions of SwapVals() are created is determined at compile time
- if we call **SwapVals()** with integers and strings, the compiler will create versions of the function that take in integers and strings
- which versions of templated function to create are determined at compile time
- how does this affect our use of separate compilation?
 - function declaration in .h file
 - function definition in .cpp file
 - function call in separate .cpp file

• here's an illustrative example:

```
#include "swap.h"
int main()
{
    int a = 3, b = 8;
    SwapVals(a, b);
}
```

```
template <class T>
void SwapVals(T &v1, T &v2);
           swap.h
#include "swap.h"
template <class T>
void SwapVals(T &v1, T &v2)
ł
  T temp;
  temp = v1;
  v1 = v2;
  v2 = temp;
}
```

swap.cpp

- most compilers (including eniac's) cannot handle separate compilation with templates
- when **swap.cpp** is compiled...

- most compilers (including eniac's) cannot handle separate compilation with templates
- when swap.cpp is compiled...
 there are no calls to SwapVals()

- most compilers (including eniac's) cannot handle separate compilation with templates
- when **swap**.cpp is compiled...

- there are no calls to SwapVals()

- swap.o has no SwapVals() definitions made

- most compilers (including eniac's) cannot handle separate compilation with templates
- when main.cpp is compiled...

- most compilers (including eniac's) cannot handle separate compilation with templates
- when main.cpp is compiled...

- it assumes everything is fine

- since swap.h has the appropriate declaration

- most compilers (including eniac's) cannot handle separate compilation with templates
- when main.o and swap.o are linked...

- most compilers (including eniac's) cannot handle separate compilation with templates
- when main.o and swap.o are linked...
 everything goes wrong

- most compilers (including eniac's) cannot handle separate compilation with templates
- when main.o and swap.o are linked...

everything goes wrong

-error: undefined reference to
 `void SwapVals<int>(int&, int&)'

Solutions

- the template function definition code must be in the same file as the function call code
- two ways to do this:
 - place function definition in main.c
 - place function definition in swap.h, which is #included in main.c

Solutions

 second option keeps some sense of separate compilation, and better allows code reuse

```
#include "swap.h"
int main()
{
    int a = 3, b = 8;
    SwapVals(a, b);
}
```

```
// declaration
template <class T>
void SwapVals(T &v1, T &v2);
// definition
template <class T>
void SwapVals(T &v1, T &v2)
Ł
  T temp;
  temp = v1;
  v1 = v2;
  v2 = temp;
}
            swap.h
```

Outline

- Overloading Functions
- Templates
 - Function Templates
 - Compiler Handling & Separate Compilation
- Class Templates
 - Declaring
 - Constructors
 - Defining
 - Using
- Project

 syntax for class declaration is very similar: template <class T> class Pair { private: GetFirst(); T void SetFirst(T first); private: T m first; T m second; **}**;

• syntax for class declaration is very similar:

```
template <class T>
class Pair {
private:
        GetFirst();
  T
  void SetFirst(T first);
private:
  T m first;
  T m second;
 };
```

• syntax for class declaration is very similar:

```
template <class T>
class Pair {
private:
       GetFirst();
  T
  void SetFirst(T first);
private:
    m first;
  T)m second;
```

• syntax for class declaration is very similar:

```
template <class T>
class Pair {
private:
        GetFirst();
  void SetFirst(T) first) ;
private:
    m first;
    m second;
  T
```

Templated Classes

- most common use for templated classes is containers (like our Pair example)
- in fact, many of the C++ STL containers actually use templates behind the scenes!
 – like vectors!

Outline

- Overloading Functions
- Templates
 - Function Templates
 - Compiler Handling & Separate Compilation

Class Templates

- Declaring
- Constructors
- Defining
- Using
- Project

Class Constructors

normally, we create just one constructor, by using default parameters:

 this allows us to create a Date object with no arguments, all arguments, and everything in between

• can we do the same with our **Pair** class?

can we do the same with our Pair class?
 Pair (T first = 0, T second = 0);

- can we do the same with our Pair class?
 Pair (T first = 0, T second = 0);
- this works fine if we're creating a Pair object of a number type (int, float, double), but what about strings, or TRAIN_CAR?

- can we do the same with our Pair class?
 Pair (T first = 0, T second = 0);
- this works fine if we're creating a Pair object of a number type (int, float, double), but what about strings, or TRAIN_CAR?
- the nature of templates means we can't use default parameters for templated classes

need to create two constructors for the class

• ???

- and
- ???

need to create two constructors for the class

- empty constructor (no arguments)
 Pair ();
 and
- ???

need to create two constructors for the class

- empty constructor (no arguments)
 Pair ();
 and
- complete constructor (all arguments)
 Pair (T first, T second);

Outline

- Overloading Functions
- Templates
 - Function Templates
 - Compiler Handling & Separate Compilation

Class Templates

- Declaring
- Constructors
- Defining
- Using
- Project

 just like with regular functions, member function definitions need the *template prefix* template <class T>

- just like with regular functions, member function definitions need the *template prefix* template <class T>
- in addition, they need a template indicator before the scope resolution operator:
 Pair<T>::Pair(T first, T second);

- just like with regular functions, member function definitions need the *template prefix* template <class T>
- in addition, they need a template indicator before the scope resolution operator:

Pair<T>::Pair(T first, T second);

note that the constructor name <u>does not</u> contain <T>, only the class name does

 everything else about the function behaves as with non-member templated functions
 Pair<T>::Pair(T first, T second)

```
{
  SetFirst(first);
  SetSecond(second);
}
```

 everything else about the function behaves as with non-member templated functions
 Pair<T>::Pair(T first, T second)

```
{
    m_first = first;
    m_second = second;
```

}

since most error checking is not feasible with templated classes, it is fine to directly set variables in the constructor, as above

Outline

- Overloading Functions
- Templates
 - Function Templates
 - Compiler Handling & Separate Compilation

Class Templates

- Declaring
- Constructors
- Defining
- Using
- Project

Using Templated Classes

 identical to the way you use templated classes provided by the STL (like vectors)

Using Templated Classes

 identical to the way you use templated classes provided by the STL (like vectors)

vector <int> myVector(10); vector <char> aVector;
Using Templated Classes

 identical to the way you use templated classes provided by the STL (like vectors)

vector <int> myVector(10); vector <char> aVector;

Pair <string> hi("hello", "world");
Pair <int> coordinates;

Outline

- Overloading Functions
- Templates
 - Function Templates
 - Compiler Handling & Separate Compilation
- Class Templates
 - Declaring
 - Constructors
 - Defining
 - Using
- Project

Project

- alpha due this Sunday (23rd) @ midnight
- presentation days will be Tuesday, Dec 2nd (6-7:30) and Wednesday, Dec 3rd (1:30-3)
- attendance at <u>both</u> presentation days is mandatory! you will <u>lose points</u> for skipping!
- final code turn-in is Wed, Dec 3rd @ midnight