Threads & Synchronization

Computer Operating Systems, Fall 2023

Instructor: Travis McGaha

Head TAs: Nate Hoaglund & Seungmin Han

TAs:

Administrivia

❖Full PennOS is due Mon Nov 27 ❖Will post some more info before next lecture about the demo & testing functionality

❖ Recitation after lecture will talk more about PennOS, maintaining the abstraction and integrating the two pieces of PennOS

pollev.com/tqm

❖ Any questions, comments or concerns from last lecture?

Lecture Outline

- ❖ **Threads Quick Refresher**
- ❖ Shared Resources & Data Races
- ❖ Disable Interrupts
- ❖ Peterson's Algorithm
- ❖ Mutex
- ❖ TSL

Introducing Threads

- ❖ Separate the concept of a process from the "*thread of execution*"
	- Threads are contained within a process
	- Usually called a thread, this is a sequential execution stream within a process

- ❖ In most modern OS's:
	- Threads are the *unit of scheduling*.

Threads vs. Processes

- ❖ In most modern OS's:
	- A Process has a unique: address space, OS resources, & security attributes
	- A Thread has a unique: stack, stack pointer, program counter, & registers
	- Threads are the *unit of scheduling* and processes are their *containers*; every process has at least one thread running in it

Threads vs. Processes

Threads vs. Processes

POSIX Threads (pthreads)

- ❖ The POSIX APIs for dealing with threads
	- **Declared in pthread.h**
		- Not part of the C/C++ language
	- **To enable support for multithreading, must include** $-\text{pthread}$ flag when compiling and linking with gcc command
		- gcc –g –Wall –pthread –o main main.c
	- Implemented in C
		- Must deal with C programming practices and style

Creating and Terminating Threads

Output parameter. Gives us a "thread_descriptor"

- ❖ int **pthread_create**(pthread $t*$ thread, const pthread attr t* attr, void* (*start routine)(void*), void^* arg) ; Argument for the thread function Function pointer! Takes & returns void* to allow "generics" in C
	- **Creates a new thread into** $*$ thread, with attributes $*$ attr (NULL means default attributes)
	- Returns 0 on success and an error number on error (can check against error constants) start routine continue
	- **The new thread runs start routine** (arg)

pthread_create parent

What To Do After Forking Threads?

- ❖ int **pthread join**(pthread t thread, void** retval);
	- Waits for the thread specified by $three$ thread to terminate
	- The thread equivalent of **waitpid**()
	- The exit status of the terminated thread is placed in $**$ retval start routine Parent thread waits for child child thread to exit, gets the child's continues return value, and child thread is create parent joincleaned up

Why Threads?

- ❖ Advantages:
	- You (mostly) write sequential-looking code
	- Threads can run in parallel if you have multiple CPUs/cores
		- Takes advantage of the multiple cores
		- Can make progress on multiple tasks at once, even if only 1 core

Disadvantages:

- **If threads share data, you need locks or other synchronization**
	- Very bug-prone and difficult to debug
- Threads can introduce overhead
	- Lock contention, context switch overhead, and other issues
- Need language support for threads

Lecture Outline

- ❖ Threads Quick Refresher
- ❖ **Shared Resources & Data Races**
- ❖ Disable Interrupts
- ❖ Peterson's Algorithm
- ❖ Mutex
- ❖ TSL

Shared Resources

- ❖ Some resources are shared between threads and processes
- ❖ Thread Level:
	- Memory
	- Things shared by processes
- ❖ Process level
	- I/O devices
		- Files
		- terminal input/output
		- The network

Issues arise when we try to shared things

Data Races

- ❖ Two memory accesses form a data race if different threads access the same location, and at least one is a write, and they occur one after another
	- Means that the result of a program can vary depending on chance **(which thread ran first? When did a thread get interrupted?)**

Data Race Example

- ❖ If your fridge has no milk, then go out and buy some more
	- What could go wrong?

❖ If you live alone:

❖ If you live with a roommate:

<u>AD Poll Everywhere</u>

- ❖ Idea: leave a note!
	- Does this fix the problem?

- **A. Yes, problem fixed**
- **B. No, could end up with no milk**
- **C. No, could still buy multiple milk**
- **D. We're lost…**

pollev.com/tqm

<u>AD Poll Everywhere</u>

- ❖ Idea: leave a note!
	- Does this fix the problem?

We can be interrupted between checking note and leaving note

- **A. Yes, problem fixed**
- **B. No, could end up with no milk C. No, could still buy multiple milk**
- **D. We're lost…**

*There are other possible scenarios that result in multiple milks

pollev.com/tqm

if (!note) { if (!milk) { leave note buy milk remove note }

}

Threads and Data Races

- ❖ Data races might interfere in painful, non-obvious ways, depending on the specifics of the data structure
- ❖ Example: two threads try to read from and write to the same shared memory location
	- Could get "correct" answer
	- Could accidentally read old value
	- One thread's work could get "lost"
- ❖ Example: two threads try to push an item onto the head of the linked list at the same time
	- Could get "correct" answer
	- Could get different ordering of items
	- Could break the data structure! $\frac{2}{x}$

pollev.com/tqm

AD Poll Everywhere

❖ What does this print?

```
WARTING MONT FUNCEDOED DO
#define LOOP NUM 100
int sum total = 0;
void loop incr() {
  for (int i = 0; i < LOOP NUM; i++) {
    sum total++;
int main(int argc, char** argv) {
  pid t pids[NUM PROCESSES]; // array of process ids
  // create processes to run loop incr()
  for (int i = \theta; i < NUM_PROCESSES; i++) {
    pids[i] = fork();if (pids[i] == 0) {
      // childloop incr();
      exit(EXIT_SUCCESS);
    // parent loops and forks more children
  // wait for all child processes to finish
  for (int i = \theta; i < NUM PROCESSES; i++) {
    width(id(pids[i], NULL, 0);Y
  printf("%d\n", sum_total);
  return EXIT_SUCCESS;
```
AD Poll Everywhere

❖ What does this print?

Always prints 0, the global counter is not shared across processes, so the parent's global never changes

```
pollev.com/tqm
```

```
#define NUM PROCESSES 50
#define LOOP NUM 100
int sum_total = 0;void loop incr() \{for (int i = 0; i < LOOP NUM; i++) {
    sum total++;
int main(int argc, char** argv) {
  pid t pids[NUM PROCESSES]; // array of process ids
  // create processes to run loop incr()
  for (int i = \theta; i < NUM_PROCESSES; i++) {
    pids[i] = fork();if (pids[i] == 0) {
      // child
      loop incr();
      exit(EXIT_SUCCESS);
    // parent loops and forks more children
  // wait for all child processes to finish
  for (int i = \theta; i < NUM PROCESSES; i++) {
    width(id(pids[i], NULL, 0);ł
  printf("%d\n", sum_total);
  return EXIT_SUCCESS;
```


pollev.com/tqm

❖ What does this print?

```
#define NUM THREADS 50
#define LOOP NUM 100
int sum total = 0;
void* thread main(void* arg) {
  for (int i = 0; i < LOOP NUM; i++) {
    sum total++;
  return NULL; // return type is a pointer
int main(int argc, char** argv) {
  pthread_t thds[NUM_THREADS]; // array of thread ids
  // create threads to run thread main()
  for (int i = \theta; i < NUM THREADS; i++) {
    pthread create(&thds[i], NULL, &thread main, NULL);
  λ
  // wait for all child threads to finish
  // (children may terminate out of order, but cleans up in order)
  for (int i = \theta; i < NUM_THREADS; i++) {
    pthread_join(thds[i], NULL);
  ł
  printf("%d\n", sum_total);
  return EXIT_SUCCESS;
```
<u>AD Poll Everywhere</u>

pollev.com/tqm

❖ What does this print?

```
#define NUM THREADS 50
                    #define LOOP NUM 100
                    int sum_total = 0;void* thread main(void* arg) {
                      for (int i = 0; i < LOOP NUM; i++) {
                        sum total++;
                      return NULL; // return type is a pointer
                    int main(int argc, char** argv) {
                      pthread_t thds[NUM_THREADS]; // array of thread ids
Usually 5000
                      // create threads to run thread main()
                      for (int i = \theta; i < NUM THREADS; i++) {
                        pthread_create(&thds[i], NULL, &thread_main, NULL);
                      ١
                      // wait for all child threads to finish
                      // (children may terminate out of order, but cleans up in order)
                      for (int i = \theta; i < NUM_THREADS; i++) {
                        pthread_join(thds[i], NULL);
                      Y
                      printf("%d\n", sum_total);
                      return EXIT_SUCCESS;
```
Demos:

- ❖ See total.c and total_processes.c
	- Threads share an address space, if one thread increments a global, it is seen by other threads
	- Processes have separate address spaces, incrementing a global in one process does not increment it for other processes

❖ NOTE: sharing data between threads is actually kinda unsafe if done wrong (we are doing it wrong in this example), **more on this NOW**

• What seems like a single operation $($ ++sum total is actually multiple operations in one. The increment looks something like this in assembly:

- ❖ What happens if we context switch to a different thread while executing these three instructions?
- ❖ **Reminder: Each thread has its own registers to work with. Each thread would have its own R0 ²⁵**

❖ Consider that sum_total starts at 0 and two threads try to

❖ Consider that sum_total starts at 0 and two threads try to

❖ Consider that sum_total starts at 0 and two threads try to

❖ With this example, we could get 1 as an output instead of 2, even though we executed ++sum_total twice

Synchronization

- ❖ Synchronization is the act of preventing two (or more) concurrently running threads from interfering with each other when operating on shared data
	- Need some mechanism to coordinate the threads
		- "Let me go first, then you can go"
	- Many different coordination mechanisms have been invented
- ❖ Goals of synchronization:
	- Liveness ability to execute in a timely manner (informally, "something good eventually happens")
	- \blacksquare Safety avoid unintended interactions with shared data structures (informally, "nothing bad happens")

Lecture Outline

- ❖ Threads Quick Refresher
- ❖ Shared Resources & Data Races
- ❖ **Disable Interrupts**
- ❖ Peterson's Algorithm
- ❖ Mutex
- ❖ TSL

Disabling Interrupts

❖ If data races occur when one thread is interrupted while it is accessing some shared code….

What is we don't switch to other threads while executing that code?

❖ This can be done by disabling interrupts: no interrupts means that the clock interrupt won't go off and invoke the scheduler

Disabling Interrupts

❖ Consider that sum_total starts at 0 and two threads try to

```
execute ++sum total
disable_interrupts();
++sum_total;
enable_interrupts();
Thread 0 and 1 and 1
                                      sum_total = 1
                                      disable_interrupts();
                                      ++sum_total;
                                      enable_interrupts();
```
Disabling Interrupts

- ❖ Advantages:
	- \blacksquare This is one way to fix this issue
- ❖ Disadvantages
	- \blacksquare This is overkill
	- This can stop threads that aren't trying to access the shared resources in the critical section. May stop threads that are executing other processes entirely
	- If interrupts disabled for a long time, then other threads will starve
	- In a multi-core environment, this gets complicated

Lecture Outline

- ❖ Threads Quick Refresher
- ❖ Shared Resources & Data Races
- ❖ Disable Intertupts
- ❖ **Peterson's Algorithm**
- ❖ Mutex
- ❖ TSL

Software Synchronizations

pollev.com/tqm

- ❖ Lets try a more complicated software approach..
- ❖ We create two threads running thread_code, one with $\arg = 0$, other thread has $\arg = 1$
- ❖ Each thread tries to increment sum_total. Does this work?

```
int sum total = 0;
bool flag[2] = {false, false};
int turn = 0
void thread_code(int arg) {
  int me = arg:flag[me] = true;turn = me;
  while((flag[1-me] == true) && (turn == me)) { }
  ++sum total;
   flag[me] = false;
}
                    Check the index of the other thread
```
Peterson's Algorithm

- ❖ What we just did was Peterson's algorithm
- ❖ Why does it work?
	- Case1:

If P0 enters critical section, $flag[0] = true$, turn = 0. It enters the critical section successfully.

 \blacksquare Case2:

If P0 and P1 enter critical section, flag[0] and flag[1] = true

Race condition on turn. Suppose P0 sets turn = 0 first. Final value is turn $= 1$. PO will get to run first.

Explanation

Peterson's Assumptions

- ❖ Some operations are atomic:
	- Reading from the flag and turn variables cannot be interrupted
	- Writing to the flag and turn variables cannot be interrupted
	- E.g setting turn = 1 or 0 will set turn to 0 or 1, you can be interrupted before or after, but not "during" when turn may have some intermediate value that is not 0 or 1
- ❖ That the instructions are executed in the specific order laid out in the code

Atomicity

❖ Atomicity: An operation or set of operations on some data are *atomic* if the operation(s) are indivisible, that no other operation(s) on that same data can interrupt/interfere.

- ❖ Aside on terminology:
	- Often interchangeable with the term "Linearizability"
	- Atomic has a different (but similar-ish) meaning in the context of data bases and ACID.

Aside: Instruction & Memory Ordering

❖ Do we know what t is set before g is set?

```
bool g = false;
int t = 0
void some_func(int arg) {
t = arg; g = true;
}
```
Aside: Instruction & Memory Ordering NO

❖ Do we know what t is set before g is set?

```
bool g = false;
int t = 0
void some_func(int arg) {
t = arg; g = true;
}
```
The compiler may generate instructions that sets g first and then t The Processor may execute these out of order or at the same time

Why? Optimizations on program performance

You can be guaranteed that t and g are set before some_func returns

Aside: Instruction & Memory Ordering

- ❖ The compiler may generate instructions with different ordering if it does not appear that it will affect the semantics of the function
	- **Since** $\begin{bmatrix} g & = & \text{true} \\ \end{bmatrix}$ is not affected by $\begin{bmatrix} t & = & \text{arg} \\ \end{bmatrix}$ then either one could execute first.
- ❖ The Processor may also execute these in a different order than what the compiler says
- ❖ Why? Optimizations on program performance
	- If you want to know more, look into "Out-of-Order Execution" and "Memory Order"

Aside: Memory Barriers

- ❖ How do we fix this?
- ❖ We can emit special instructions to the CPU and/or compiler to create a "memory barrier"
	- "all memory accesses before the barrier are guaranteed to happen before the memory accesses that come after the barrier"
	- A way to enforce an order in which memory accesses are ordered by the compiler and the CPU

Lecture Outline

- ❖ Threads Quick Refresher
- ❖ Shared Resources & Data Races
- ❖ Disable Interrupts
- ❖ Peterson's Algorithm
- ❖ **Mutex**
- ❖ TSL

Lock Synchronization

- ❖ Use a "Lock" to grant access to a *critical section* so that only one thread can operate there at a time
	- Executed in an uninterruptible (*i.e.* atomic) manner
- ❖ Lock Acquire
	- \blacksquare Wait until the lock is free, then take it
- ❖ Lock Release
	- \blacksquare Release the lock

❖ Pseudocode:

```
// non-critical code
lock.acquire(); \int if locked
// critical section
lock.release();
                    block
```

```
// non-critical code
```
■ If other threads are waiting, wake exactly one up to pass lock to

Lock API

- ❖ Locks are constructs that are provided by the operating system to help ensure synchronization
	- Often called a mutex or a semaphore
- ❖ Only one thread can acquire a lock at a time, No thread can acquire that lock until it has been released
- ❖ Has memory barriers built into it and usually uses TSL to ensure that acquiring the lock is atomic (more on TSL in a little bit)

Milk Example – What is the Critical Section?

- ❖ What if we use a lock on the refrigerator?
	- **Probably overkill what if** roommate wanted to get eggs?
- ❖ For performance reasons, only put what is necessary in the critical section
	- Only lock the milk
	- But lock *all* steps that must run uninterrupted (*i.e.* must run as an atomic unit)

milk_lock.**lock**() if (!milk) { buy milk } milk_lock.**unlock**()

pthreads and Locks

- ❖ Another term for a lock is a mutex ("mutual exclusion")
	- pthread.h defines datatype pthread mutex t
- ❖ pthread_mutex_init() int **pthread_mutex_init**(pthread_mutex_t* mutex, const pthread mutexattr t* attr);
	- Initializes a mutex with specified attributes
- ❖ pthread_mutex_lock() int **pthread_mutex_lock**(pthread_mutex_t* mutex);
	- **E** Acquire the lock blocks if already locked Un-blocks when lock is acquired
- ❖ pthread_mutex_unlock() int **pthread_mutex_unlock**(pthread_mutex_t* mutex);
	- Releases the lock
- ❖ int pthread mutex destroy (pthread mutex t* mutex);
	- "Uninitializes" a mutex $-$ clean up when done

pthread Mutex Examples

- ❖ See total.c
	- Data race between threads
- ❖ See total_locking.c
	- Adding a mutex fixes our data race
- ❖ How does total_locking compare to sequential code and to total?
	- Likely *slower* than both– only 1 thread can increment at a time, and must deal with checking the lock and switching between threads
	- One possible fix: each thread increments a local variable and then adds its value (once!) to the shared variable at the end
		- See total locking better.c
- ❖ Threads Quick Refresher
- ❖ Shared Resources & Data Races
- ❖ Disable Interrupts
- ❖ Peterson's Algorithm
- ❖ Mutex
- ❖ **TSL**

TSL

- ❖ TSL stands for **T**est and **S**et **L**ock, sometimes just called **test-and-set**.
- ❖ TSL is an atomic instruction that is guaranteed to be atomic at the hardware level

❖ TSL R, M

- Pass in a register and a memory location
- \blacksquare R gets the value of M
- M is set to 1 AFTER setting R

TSL to implement Mutex

❖ A mutex is pretty much this:

```
pthread_mutex_lock(lock) {
   prev value = TSL(lock);
    // if prev_value = 1, then it was already locked
   while (prev value == 1) {
       block();
      prev value = TSL(lock);
    }
}
pthread_mutex_unlock(lock) {
  lock = 0; wakeup_blocked_threads(lock);
}
```