

Programming with OCaml

Fall 2004

Software Foundations

CIS 500

- ♦ Advanced recitation starts this week. (Wednesday, 3:30-5:00 PM).
- ♦ Homework 2 is on the web page. It is due in one week.
- ♦ Homework 1 was due at noon.

Announcements

Functional programming with OCaml

The material in this course is mostly conceptual and mathematical. However, experimenting with small implementations is an excellent way to deepen intuitions about many of the concepts we will encounter. For this purpose, we will use the OCaml language.

OCaml is a large and powerful language. For our present purposes, though, we can concentrate just on the “core” of the language, ignoring most of its features.

OCaml and this course

OCaml is a **functional** programming language — i.e., a language in which the functional programming style is the dominant idiom. Other well-known functional languages include Lisp, Scheme, Haskell, and Standard ML.

The functional style can be described as a combination of... **recursion** as a primary control structure **heavy use of higher-order functions** (functions that take functions as arguments and/or return functions as results) **imperative** languages, by contrast, emphasize **mutable** data structures **looping** rather than recursion **first-order** rather than higher-order programming (though many object-oriented “design patterns” involve higher-order idioms—e.g., **Subscribe/Notify**, **Visitor**, etc.)

Functional Programming

```
- : int = 34  
# 2*8 + 3*6;;  
  
- : int = 34  
# 16 + 18;;
```

of the program is the value of the expression.

OCaml is an **expression language**. A program is an expression. The “meaning”

Computing with Expressions

OCaml provides both an interactive **top level** and a **compiler** that produces standard executable binaries. The top level provides a convenient way of experimenting with small programs.

The mode of interacting with the top level is typing in a series of expressions; OCaml **evaluates** them as they are typed and displays the results (and their types). In the interaction above, lines beginning with `#` are inputs and lines beginning with `-` are the system's responses. Note that inputs are always terminated by a double semicolon.

The top level

```
val x : int = 15
# Let x = 1000000 / inchesPerMile;;
val inchesPerMile : int = 63360
# Let inchesPerMile = 12*3*1760;;
```

The **Let** construct gives a name to the result of an expression so that it can be used later.

Giving things names

The type annotation on the parameter (`x:int`) is optional. OCaml can figure out what type it is from the context. However, your life will be **much** simpler if you put it on.

Note that OCaml responds to a function declaration by printing just `<fun>` as the function's "value."

The expression `cube 9` is an **application** of `cube` to the argument `9`.

The type printed by OCaml, `int -> int` (pronounced "int arrow int") indicates that `cube` is a function that should be applied to a single, integer argument and that returns an integer.

We call `x` the **parameter** of the function `cube`; the expression `x*x*x` is its **body**.

```
# Let cube (x:int) = x*x*x;;
val cube : int -> int = <fun>
# cube 9;;
- : int = 729
```

Functions

Note that the syntax for invoking function declarations in OCaml is slightly different from languages in the C/C++/Java family: we write `cube 3` and `sumsq 3 4` rather than `cube(3)` and `sumsq(3,4)`.

The type printed for `sumsq` is `int -> int -> int`, indicating that it should be applied to two integer arguments and yields an integer as its result.

```
# Let sumsq (x:int) (y:int) = x*x + y*y;;
val sumsq : int -> int -> int = <fun>
# sumsq 3 4;;
- : int = 25
```

Here is a function with two parameters:

```
- : bool = false  
# not (2 = 2);;  
  
- : bool = false  
# not (5 <= 10);;
```

`not` is a unary operation on booleans.

```
- : bool = true  
# 4 >= 3;;  
  
- : bool = false  
# 1 = 2;;
```

Comparison operations return boolean values.

There are only two values of type `bool`: `true` and `false`.

The type `bool`

```
- : bool = true
# if false then false else true;;
- : int = 100
# if false then (3 + 3) else (10 * 10);;
- : int = 6
# if 3 < 4 then (3 + 3) else (10 * 10);;
- : int = 7
# if 3 < 4 then 7 else 100;;
- : int = 7
```

false.

The result of the conditional expression `if B then E1 else E2` is either the result of `E1` or that of `E2`, depending on whether the result of `B` is `true` or `false`.

Conditional expressions

The type that OCaml prints for this list is pronounced either “integer list” or “list of integers”. The empty list, written `[]`, is sometimes called “nil.”

```
- : int list = [1; 3; 2; 5]
# [1; 3; 2; 5];;
```

One handy structure for storing a collection of data values is a **list**. Lists are provided as a built-in type in OCaml and a number of other popular languages. We can build a list in OCaml by writing out its elements, enclosed in square brackets and separated by semicolons.

Lists

In fact, for every type `t`, we can build lists of type `t list`.

```
- : int list list = [[1; 2]; [2; 3; 4]; [5]]  
# [[1; 2]; [2; 3; 4]; [5]];;
```

We can also build lists of lists:

```
- : bool list list = [[true; true; false]  
# [true; true; false];;  
  
- : string list list = [["cat"; "dog"; "gnu"]  
# ["cat"; "dog"; "gnu"];;
```

`bool`, etc.).

We can build lists whose elements are drawn from any of the basic types (`int`,

The types of lists

OCaml does not allow different types of elements to be mixed within the same list:

```
# [1; 2; "dog"] ;;
Characters 7-13:
This expression has type string list but is here used
with type int list
```

Lists are homogeneous

```
- : int list = [1; 2; 3]
# add123 [];;
- : int list = [1; 2; 3; 5; 6; 7]
# add123 [5; 6; 7];;
val add123 : int list -> int list = <fun>
# Let add123 (l: int list) = l :: 2 :: 3 :: l;;
- : int list = [1; 2; 3]
# 1 :: [2; 3];;
```

OCaml provides a number of built-in operations that return lists. The most basic one creates a new list by adding an element to the front of an existing list. It is written `::` and pronounced “cons” (because it **co**n**s**tructs lists).

Constructing Lists

```
- : int list = [9; 10; 11; 12; 13; 14; 15; 16; 17; 18]
# fromTo 9 18;;
(* [9; 10; 11; 12; 13; 14; 15; 16; 17; 18] *)

let rec fromTo (m:int) (n:int) = (* The numbers from m to n *)
  if n < m then []
  else m :: fromTo (m+1) n;;
# fromTo 1 5;;
(* [1; 2; 3; 4; 5] *)


- : int list = [7; 7; 7; 7; 7; 7; 7; 7; 7; 7]
# repeat 7 12;;
(* [7; 7; 7; 7; 7; 7; 7; 7; 7; 7; 7; 7] *)


let rec repeat (k:int) (n:int) = (* A list of n copies of k *)
  if n = 0 then []
  else k :: repeat k (n-1);;
# repeat 5 3;;
(* [5; 5; 5] *)
```

Some recursive functions that generate lists

Note that, when we omit parentheses from an expression involving several uses of `::`, we associate to the right—i.e., `1::2::3::[]` means the same thing as `1::(2::(3::[]))`. By contrast, arithmetic operators like `+` and `-` associate to the left: `1-2-3-4` means `((1-2)-3)-4`.

$x_1 :: x_2 :: \dots :: x_n :: []$

is simply a shorthand for

`[x1; x2; ...; xn]`

In fact,

```
- : int list = [1; 2; 3; 2; 1]
-# 1 :: 2 :: 3 :: 2 :: 1 :: [] ;;;
```

Any list can be built by “consing” its elements together:

Constructing Lists

- ♦ `List.tl` (pronounced “tail”) returns everything **but** the first element.
- ♦ `List.hd` (pronounced “head”) returns the first element of a list.

OCaml provides two basic operations for extracting the parts of a list.

Taking Lists Apart

```
- : int list = [3]
# List.tl (List.tl [1; 2; 3]);;
- : int = 3
# List.hd (List.tl (List.tl [1; 2; 3]));;
- : int list = []
# List.tl (List.tl (List.tl [1; 2; 3]));;
- : int = 4
# List.hd ([5; 4]; [3; 2]);;
- : int = 5
# List.hd (List.hd ([5; 4]; [3; 2]));;
- : int list = [4]
# List.tl (List.hd ([5; 4]; [3; 2]));;
```

Like most programming languages, OCaml includes a mechanism for grouping collections of definitions into **modules**.
For example, the built-in module `List` provides the `List.hd` and `List.tl` functions (and many others). That is, the name `List.hd` really means “the function `hd` from the module `List`.”

Modules – a brief digression

```
# Let rec ListSum (l:int list) =
  if l = [] then 0
  else List.hd l + ListSum (List.tl l);;
# ListSum [5; 4; 3; 2; 1];;
- : int = 15
```

Lots of useful functions on lists can be written using recursion. Here's one that sums the elements of a list of numbers:

Recursion on Lists

```
# Let rec snoc (l: int list) (x: int) =
  if l = [] then x :: []
  else List.hd l :: snoc(List.tl l) x;;
val snoc : int list -> int -> int list = <fun>
# snoc [5; 4; 3; 2] 1;;
- : int list = [5; 4; 3; 2; 1]
```

Considering on the right

```
- : int = 15
# ListSum [5; 4; 3; 2; 1];;
val it =
  | x::y -> x + ListSum y;;
  [] -> 0
match l with
# Let rec ListSum (l: int list) =
```

Lists can either be empty or non-empty. OCaml provides a convenient pattern-matching construct that determines whether this list is empty, and if it is not, allow access to the first element.

Basic Pattern Matching

Pattern matching can be used with types other than lists. For example, here it is used on integers:

```
# Let rec fact (n:int) =
  match n with
    0 -> 1
  | _ -> n * fact(n-1);;
```

```

# Let s11y (l:int list) =
  match l with
    [::] -> "three elements Long"
    | _ :: x :: y :: _ :: _ :: rest -> if x > y then "foo" else "bar"
    | _ :: _ -> "two elements Long"
  val s11y : int list -> string = <fun>
  | _ -> "dunno";;
# s11y [1;2;3];;
- : string = "two elements Long"
# s11y [1;2;3;4];;
- : string = "dunno"
# s11y [1;2;3;4;5];;
- : string = "bar"

```

The basic elements (constants, variable binders, wildcards, [], ::, etc.) may be combined in arbitrarily complex ways in **match** expressions:

Complex Patterns

```
# Let rec length (l : ???) =  
  match l with  
    []      ← o  
  | _ :: y ← t + length y;;
```

What type should we give to the parameter `L` below?

Polyorphism

How many arguments does `g` take?

```
val g : int * int -> int = <fun>
# Let g (x,y) = x*y;;

```

```
- : string * string list = "children", ["bob"; "ted"; "alice"]
# ("children", ["bob"; "ted"; "alice"]);;
- : string * (string * int) = "processor", ("age", 33)
# ("processor", ("age", 33));;
- : string * int = "age", 44
# "age", 44;;
```

items connected by commas are “tuples”

Tuples

This expression has type string but is here used with type int

```
# Let l2 = [1; "cow"];;
# Val tuple2 : int * string = 1, "cow"
# Let tuple2 = 1, "cow";;
- : string = "cow"
# List.hd list;;
# List type 'a list
This expression has type string * string but is here used
with type tuple2;;
# List.hd tuple2;;
# Val list : string list = ["cow"; "dog"; "sheep"]
# Let list = ["cow"; "dog"; "sheep"];;
# Val tuple : string * string * string = "cow", "dog", "sheep"
# Let tuple = "cow", "dog", "sheep";;
```

Please do not confuse them!

Tuples are not lists

```
# Let LastName name =
#   match name with
#     (n1, _, _) -> n1;;
#   # LastName ("Pierce", "Benjamin", "Penn");;
- : string = "Pierce"
```

Tuples can be “deconstructed” by pattern matching:

Tuples and Pattern Matching

(Note that character constants are written with single quotes.)

```
# split ["t"; "h"; "e"; " "; "b"; "x"; "a"; " "; "d"; "o"; " "; "g"]  
- : char list list =  
[[["t"; "h"; "e"; " "; "b"; "x"; "a"; " "; "d"; "o"; " "; "g"]]]
```

Suppose we want to take a list of characters and return a list of lists of characters, where each element of the final list is a “word” from the original list.

Example: Finding words

Note the use of both tuple patterns and nested patterns (as well as wildcards).

```
# Let rec loop (w:char list) (l:char list) =
  match l with
    [] -> [w]
    (c::ls) -> loop (w @ [c]) ls;;
# Let split (l:char list) = loop [] l;;
val split : char list -> char list list = <fun>
```

An implementation of `split`

```

# Let split (l:char list) =
  let rec loop (w:char list) (l:char list) =
    match l with
      []      <-> []
    | (c::ls) <-> loop (w @ [c]) ls
    | (c, ::, ls) <-> w :: (loop ls)
  in
  loop []

```

The `loop` function is completely local to `split`: there is no reason for anybody else to use it — or even, for anybody else to be able to see it! It is good style in OCaml to write such definitions as **local bindings**:

Aside: Local function definitions

```
# Let ... in e;;
```

can also appear in a `Let ... in ...` form.

```
# e;;
# Let ...;;
```

In general, any `Let` definition that can appear at the top level

```
# let rec fact (n:int) =  
  if n<0 then raise Bad  
  else if n=0 then 1  
  else n * fact(n-1);;  
# fact (-3);;  
Exception: Bad.
```

Now, encountering `raise Bad` will immediately terminate evaluation and return control to the top level:

```
# exception Bad;;
```

We begin by defining an exception:
Java.

OCaml's exception mechanism is roughly similar to that found in, for example,

Basic Exceptions

Naturally, exceptions can also be caught within a program (using the `try ... with ...` form), but let's leave that for another day.

We have seen a number of data types:
int
bool
string
char
list
tuples

OCaml has a few other built-in data types — in particular, float, with operations like +., *. etc.

One can also create completely new data types.

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

The ability to construct new types is an essential part of most programming languages. The need for new types Suppose we are building a (very simple) graphics program that displays circles and squares. We can represent each of these with three real numbers.

The need for new types

(Recall that numerical operations on the `float` type are written differently from the corresponding operations on `int` — e.g., `+` instead of `+`. See the OCaml manual for more information.)

We might accidentally apply the `areaOfSquare` function to a circle and get a nonsensical result.

```
# Let areaOfSquare ((_,_,d):float*float*float) = d *. d;;
```

However, there are two problems with using this type to represent circles and squares. First, it is a bit long and unwieldy, both to write and to read. Second, because their types are identical, there is nothing to prevent us from mixing circles and squares. For example, if we write

```
float * float * float
```

we can represent **both** shapes as elements of the type: A circle is represented by the co-ordinates of its bottom left corner and its width. So is represented by the co-ordinates of its center and its radius. A square

```
# Square(1.1,2.2,3.3);;
- : square = Square (1.1, 2.2, 3.3)
```

to create a **square** from three floats. For example:

- ♦ It creates a **constructor** called **Square** (with a capital **S**) that can be used in the system.
- ♦ It creates a **new** type called **square** that is different from any other type in

This does two things:

```
# type square = Square of float * float * float;;
```

We can improve matters by defining **square** as a new type:

Data Types

Constructors are recognized by being capitalized (the first letter is upper case).

So we can use constructors like `Square` both as **functions** and as **patterns**.

```

val bottomLeftCoords : square -> float * float = <fun>
  Square(x, y, _) -> (x,y);;

match s with
# Let bottomLeftCoords (s:square) =

```



```

val areaOfSquare : square -> float = <fun>
  Square(_, _, d) -> d *. d;;
match s with
# Let areaOfSquare (s:square) =

```

We take types apart with (`surprise`, `surprise...`) pattern matching.

Taking data types apart

```
# Let areaOfSquare (Square(x, y, d):square) = d * . d;;  
# Let bottomLeftCoordinates (Square(x, y, _):square) = (x, y);;
```

These functions can be written a little more concisely by combining the pattern matching with the function header:

This expression has type circle but is here used with type square.

```
# areaOfSquare(c);;
```

circle:

We cannot now apply a function intended for type square to a value of type

```
# type circle = Circle of float * float * float;;
# let areaOfCircle (Circle(x, y, _):circle) = 3.14159 *. x *. x;;
# let centerCoords (Circle(x, y, _):circle) = (x,y);;
# areaOfCircle (Circle(1., 1., 1.):circle) = 3.14159 * . 1 *. 1;;
- : float = 12.56636
```

Continuing, we can define a data type for circles in the same way.

A type that can have more than one form is often called a **variant** type.

```
- : shape = Square (1.000000, 2.000000, 3.000000)
# Square (1.0, 2.0, 3.0);;
```

example:

Now **both** constructors **Circle** and **Square** create values of type **shape**. For

```
# type shape = Circle of float * float * float
| Square of float * float * float;;
```

The solution is to build a type that can be **either** a circle **or** a square.

such a list?

Going back to the idea of a graphics program, we obviously want to have several shapes on the screen at once. For this we'd probably want to keep a list of circles and squares, but such a list would be **heterogeneous**. How do we make

Variant types

```
# Let area (s:shape) =
  match s with
    Circle (r, d) -> 3.14159 *. r *. r
    | Square (r, d) -> d *. d;;
# area (Circle (0.0, 0.0, 1.5));;
- : float = 7.0685775
```

We can also write functions that do the right thing on all forms of a variant type. Again we use pattern matching:

```
# Let l = [Circle (0.0, 0.0, 1.5); Square (1.0, 2.0, 1.0);  
          Circle (2.0, 0.0, 1.5); Circle (5.0, 0.0, 2.5)];;
```

A “heterogeneous” list:

```
# type num = Int of int | Float of float;;
# let add (Int i1) (Float i2) =
  match (i1, i2) with
    (Int i1, Int i2) -> Int (i1 + i2)
  | (Int i1, Float i2) -> Int (float_of_int i1 + i2)
  | (Float i1, Int i2) -> Int (i1 + float_of_int i2)
  | (Float i1, Float i2) -> Float (i1 +. i2)
# add (Int 3) (Float 4.5);;
- : num = Float 7.5
```

Many programming languages (Lisp, Basic, Perl, database query languages) use variant types internally to represent numbers that can be either integers or floats. This amounts to “tagging” each numeric value with an indicator that says what kind of number it is.

Mixed-mode Arithmetic

```
# Let mult (r1:num) (r2:num) =
  match (r1,r2) with
    (Int i1, Int i2) -> Int (i1 * i2)
    (Float r1, Int i2) -> Float (r1 *. float(i2))
    (Int i1, Float r2) -> Float (float(i1) *. r2)
    | (Float r1, Float r2) -> Float (r1 *. r2);;
```

Multiplication, `mult` follows exactly the same pattern:

```
# Let unaryMinus (n:num) = match n with Int i -> Int (-i) | Float x -> Float (-.x);;  
# Let arrayMinus (n1:num) (n2:num) = add n1 (unaryMinus n2);;  
# Let minus (n1:num) (n2:num) = add n1 (unaryMinus n2);;  
if n = Int 0 then Int 1  
else mult n (fact (minus n (Int 1)));;  
# fact (Int 7);;  
- : num = Int 5040
```

Some Higher-Level Mixed-Mode Functions

```
# type maybe = Absent | Present of int;;
```

Another is based on the following data type:

There are several ways to deal with this issue. One is to raise an exception.

directory? What should `Lookup` return?

However, this isn't quite enough. What happens if a given string isn't in the

directory.

where `directory` is a (yet to be decided) type that we'll use to represent the

`Lookup: string -> directory -> int`

We expect to have a function `Lookup` whose type is

directory. We want to give it a string and get back a number (say an integer).

Suppose we are implementing a simple lookup function for a telephone

A Data Type for Optional Values

```
# type directory = (string * int) list ::;  
  
# let directory = [ ("Joe", 1234); ("Martha", 5672);  
#   ("Jane", 3456); ("Ed", 7623)];;  
  
# let rec lookup (s:string) (l:directory) =  
#   match l with  
#     [] -> Absent  
#   | (k,i)::t -> if k = s then Present(i)  
#   else lookup s t;;
```

To see how this type is used, let's represent our directory as a list of pairs:

```
# Let rec Lookup (s:string) (l:directory) =
  match l with
    [] -> None
    | (k,i)::t -> if k = s then Some(i)
      else Lookup s t;;
# Lookup "Jane" directory;
- : maybe = Some 3456
```

Because options are often useful in functional programming, OCaml provides a built-in type `t option` for each type `t`. Its constructors are `None` (corresponding to `Absent`) and `Some` (for `Present`).

Built-in options

```
# type day = Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday
# type weekend (d:day) =
  | Thursday | Friday | Saturday;
  match d with
  | Saturday -> true
  | Sunday -> true
  | _ -> false;
```

```
# type color = Red | Yellow | Green;
# let next (c:color) =
  match c with Green -> Yellow | Yellow -> Red | Red -> Green;;
```

Our **maybe** data type has one variant, **Absent**, that is a “constant” constructor carrying no data values with it. Data types in which **all** the variants are constants can actually be quite useful...

Enumerations

Note that the behavior of `myAnd` is not quite the same as the built-in `@@!`

```
# type myBool = False | True;;
# let myNot (b:myBool) = match b with False -> True | True -> False;;
# let myAnd (b1:myBool) (b2:myBool) = match (b1,b2) with
| (True, True) -> True
| (True, False) -> False
| (False, True) -> False
| (False, False) -> False;;
```

We use the constant constructors `True` and `False` to represent `true` and `false`. We'll use different names as needed to avoid confusion between our booleans and the built-in ones:

A simple data type can be used to replace the built-in booleans.

A Boolean Data Type

```
dxe * dxe
dxe - dxe
dxe + dxe
exp :: number
```

Consider the tiny language of arithmetic expressions defined by the following grammar:

Recursive Types

parentheses

underlying tree structure of expressions, supressing surface details such as

- ♦ The type `ast` represents **abstract syntax trees**, which capture the
- ♦ This datatype (like the original grammar) is **recursive**.

Notes:

```
type ast =  
| Num of int  
| Plus of ast * ast  
| Minus of ast * ast  
| ATimes of ast * ast;;
```

We can translate this grammar directly into a datatype definition:

```
val eval : ast -> int = <fun>
# eval (ATimes (APlus (ANum 12, ANum 340), ANum 5));;
- : int = 1760
```

Goal: write an evaluator for these expressions.

An evaluator for expressions

```
Let rec eval (e:ast) =  
  match e with  
    Num i => i  
  | Plus (e1,e2) -> eval e1 + eval e2  
  | Minus (e1,e2) -> eval e1 - eval e2  
  | Times (e1,e2) -> eval e1 * eval e2;;
```

The solution uses a recursive function plus a pattern match.

Goal: write a function that takes two lists of equal length and interleaves their

elements in alternating fashion:

```
# interLeave [1;2;3] [4;5;6];;
- : int list = [1; 4; 2; 5; 3; 6]
```

A final example

```
# Let rec interLeave (l1:a list) (l2:a list) =
  match l1, l2 with
    [], [] -> []
  | x::xs, y::ys -> x::y::(interLeave xs ys)
  | _ -> raise Bad;
```

Solution:

```
# interleave [1;3] [2;4];;
- : int list list =
  [[1; 3; 2; 4]; [1; 2; 4]; [1; 2; 3]; [2; 1; 3; 4];
   [2; 1; 4; 3]; [2; 4; 1; 3]]
```

For example:

(original lists).

Now suppose that we want to calculate **all** the possible interleavings of two lists — i.e., all the lists that can be formed by interleaving elements of the input lists in an arbitrary fashion (but maintaining the ordering from the original lists).

Harder version

```
val interLeave : 'a list -> 'a list -> 'a list list = <fun>
  val cons_all : ('a list -> 'a list) => ('a list list -> 'a list list) =
    fun f ys =
      let rec interLeave xs ys =
        match xs, ys with
        | [], [] -> []
        | _, [] -> [xs]
        | xs, _ -> [xs] @ f (List.append xs (interLeave xs (List.tl ys)))
      in f
  val interLeave : 'a list -> 'a list -> 'a list list = <fun>
  val cons_all : ('a list -> 'a list) => ('a list list -> 'a list list) =
    fun f ys =
      let rec interLeave xs ys =
        match xs, ys with
        | [], [] -> []
        | _, [] -> []
        | xs, _ -> [xs] @ f (List.append xs (interLeave xs (List.tl ys)))
      in f
```

can be read, “`Last` is a function that takes a list of elements of any type `alpha` and returns an element of `alpha`.“

`Last : 'a list -> 'a`

In other words,

```
int list -> int
string list -> string
int list list -> int list
etc.
```

This version of `Last` is said to be **polymorphic**, because it can be applied to many different types of arguments. (“Poly” = many, “morph” = shape.) Note that the type of the elements of `l` is `'a` (pronounced “alpha”). This is a type variable, which can be instantiated, each time we apply `Last`, by replacing `'a` with any type that we like. The instances of the type `'a list -> 'a` include

Polyorphism

```
# let rec append (l1: 'a list) (l2: 'a list) =
  if l1 = [] then l2
  else List.hd l1 :: append (List.tl l1) l2;;
val append : 'a list -> 'a list -> 'a list = <fun>
# append [4; 3; 2] [6; 7];;
- : int list = [4; 3; 2; 6; 7]
# append ["cat"; "in"] ["the"; "hat"];;
- : string list = ["cat"; "in"; "the"; "hat"]
```

A polymorphic append

```
- : bool list = [true; false]
# rev [false; true];;

- : string list = ["hat"; "the"; "in"; "cat"]
# rev ["cat"; "in"; "the"; "hat"];;

val rev : 'a list -> 'a list = <fun>
# let rec rev (l: 'a list) = revaux l [];;
val revaux : 'a list -> 'a list -> 'a list = <fun>
else revaux (List.tl l) (List.hd l :: res);;
if l = [] then res
# let rec revaux (l: 'a list) (res: 'a list) =

```

A polymorphic rev

What is the type of `repeat`?

```
# Let rec repeat (k:a) (n:int) = (* A list of n copies of k *)
  if n = 0 then []
  else k :: repeat k (n-1);
# repeat 7 12;;
- : int list = [7; 7; 7; 7; 7; 7; 7; 7; 7]
# repeat true 3;;
- : bool list = [true; true; true]
# repeat [6;7] 4;;
- : int list list = [[6; 7]; [6; 7]; [6; 7]; [6; 7]]
```

Polyomorphic `repeat`

```

# let palindrome (l: 'a list) =
  val palindrome : 'a list -> bool = <fun>
    l = (rev l);;
# let palindrome (l: 'a list) =
  # palindrome [1; 2; 4; 2; 1];;
- : bool = true
# palindrome [true; true; false];;
- : bool = false
# palindrome [a; b; l; e; w; a; s; I; x; e; I];;
- : bool = true

```

A `palindrome` is a word, sentence, or other sequence that reads the same forwards and backwards.

Palindromes

booleans, etc.

Note that `List.map` is polymorphic: it works for lists of integers, strings,

```
# List.map square [1; 3; 5; 9; 2; 21];;
- : int list = [1; 9; 25; 81; 4; 441]

# List.map not [false; false; true];;
- : bool list = [true; true; false]
```

OCaml has a predefined function `List.map` that takes a function `f` and a list `l` and produces another list by applying `f` to each element of `l`. We'll soon see how to define `List.map`, but first let's look at some examples.

map: “apply-to-each”

An interesting feature of `List.map` is its first argument is itself a function. For this reason, we call `List.map` a **higher-order function**.
Natural uses for higher-order functions arise frequently in programming. One of OCaml's strengths is that it makes higher-order functions very easy to work with.
In other languages such as Java, higher-order functions can be (and often are) simulated using objects.

More on map

```
# Let rec even (n:int) =
  if n=0 then true
  else if n=1 then false
  else if n<0 then even (-n)
  else even (n-2);
val even : int -> bool = <fun>
# List.filter even [1; 2; 3; 4; 5; 6; 7; 8; 9];;
- : int list = [2; 4; 6; 8]
# List.filter palindrome [[1]; [1; 2; 3]; [1; 2; 1]; []];;
- : int list = [[1]; [1; 2; 1]; []]
- : int list = [1; 2; 1]
- : int list = [[1]; [1; 2; 1]; []]
```

P returns true.

Another useful higher-order function is `List.filter`. When applied to a `List` `L` and a boolean function `P`, it extracts from `L` the list of those elements for which

filter

Note that, like map, `List.filter` is polymorphic—it works on lists of any type.

```
# map String.length ["The"; "quick"; "brown"; "fox"];;
- : int list = [3; 5; 5; 3]
```

The type of `map` is probably even more polymorphic than you expected! The list that it returns can actually be of a **different** type from its argument:

```
let rec map (f: 'a -> 'b) (l: 'a list) =
  if l = [] then []
  else f (List.hd l) :: map f (List.tl l)
val map : ('a -> 'b) -> 'a list -> 'b list = <fun>
```

`List.map` comes predefined in the OCaml system, but there is nothing magic about it—we can easily define our own `map` function with the same behavior.

Defining map

```
val filter : ('a -> bool) -> 'a list -> 'a list = <fun>

let rec filter (p: 'a->bool) (l: 'a list) =
  if l = [] then []
  else if p (List.hd l) then List.hd l :: filter p (List.tl l)
  else filter p (List.tl l)
```

Similarly, we can define our own **filter** that behaves the same as `List.filter`.

Defining filter

* Strictly speaking, Java should be called “mostly static”

	Weak	Strong
Dynamic	Lisp, Scheme	C, C++, ML, ADA, Java*
Static		

executed.

- ◆ A dynamically typed language delays these checks until programs are executed.
- ◆ A statically typed language performs type-consistency checks at when programs are first entered.
- ◆ A weakly typed language does not.
- ◆ A corrupting memory, crashing the machine, etc.
- ◆ A strongly typed language prevents programs from accessing private data,

Approaches to Typing

♦ Let f x y = y :: x

♦ Let f x = l :: x

♦ Let f x = hd(tl x) :: []

♦ Let f x = hd(tl x) :: [l.0]

♦ Let f x = x

♦ Let f x = [x]

♦ Let f (x:int) = [x]

♦ Let f x = x + 1

♦ Let f (x:int) = x + 1

What are the types of the following functions?

Practice with Types

```
Let rec f x =  
  if (tl x) = [] then x  
  else f (tl x)
```

And one more:

♦ Let f x y z = if x <> 3 then y else [z]

♦ Let f x y z = if x <> 3 then y else z

♦ Let f x = x :: x

♦ Let f x = x @ x

♦ Let f x y = x :: []

- The polymorphism in ML that arises from type parameters is an example of **generic programming**. (`map`, `filter`, etc.) are good examples of generic functions. Different languages support generic programming in different ways...
 ♦ **parametric polymorphism** allows functions to work **uniformly** over arguments of different types. E.g., `Last : 'a list -> 'a`
- ♦ ad hoc polymorphism (or **overloading**) allows an operation to behave in **different** ways when applied to arguments of different types. E.g., `Last : 'a list -> 'a`
 such polymorphism in OCaml, but most languages allow some overloading (e.g. `2+3` and `2.4 + 3.6`). Java and C++ allow one to extend the overloading of a symbol (e.g. `"dog" + "house"`). This form of overloading is a **syntactic convenience**, but little more.
- ♦ subtype polymorphism allows operations to be defined for collections of types sharing some common structure e.g., a **feed** operation might make sense for values of `animal` and all its "refinements"—`cow`, `tiger`, `mouse`, etc.

Aside: Polymorphism

OCaml supports parametric polymorphism in a very general way, and also supports subtyping (Though we shall not get to see this aspect of OCaml, its support for subtyping is what distinguishes it from other dialects of ML.) It supports subtype polymorphism (What distinguishes it from other dialects of ML) It does not allow overloading.

Java provides a subtyping as well as moderately powerful overloading, but no parametric polymorphism. (Various Java extensions with parametric polymorphism are under discussion.)

Confusingly, the bare term “polymorphism” is used to refer to parametric polymorphism in the ML community and for subtype polymorphism in the Java community!