

CIS 500

Software Foundations

Fall 2004

4 October, 2004

Types

# Type Systems

---

- ◆ currently, active and successful topic in PL research
- ◆ “light-weight” formal methods
- ◆ “enabling technology” for all sorts of other things, e.g. language-based security
- ◆ the “skeleton” around which modern programming languages are often designed

## Approaches to Typing

- ◆ A **strongly typed** language prevents programs from accessing private data, corrupting memory, crashing the machine, etc.
- ◆ A **weakly typed** language does not.
- ◆ A **statically typed** language performs type-consistency checks at when programs are first entered.
- ◆ A **dynamically typed** language delays these checks until programs are executed.

	Weak	Strong
Dynamic		Lisp, Scheme, Perl, Python, Smalltalk
Static	C, C++	ML, ADA, Java*

\*Strictly speaking, Java should be called “mostly static”

## Plan

---

- ◆ For today, we'll go back to the simple language of arithmetic and boolean expressions and show how to give it a (very simple) type system
- ◆ On Wednesday, we'll develop a simple type system for the lambda-calculus, following TAPL Ch.9. This lecture will not be covered on the first midterm.
- ◆ We'll spend a good part of the rest of the semester adding features to this type system

# Outline

---

1. begin with a set of terms, a set of values, and an evaluation relation
2. define a set of **types** classifying values according to their “shapes”
3. define a **typing relation**  $t : T$  that classifies terms according to the shape of the values that result from evaluating them
4. check that the typing relation is **sound** in the sense that,
  - (a) if  $t : T$  and  $t \longrightarrow^* v$ , then  $v : T$
  - (b) if  $t : T$ , then evaluation of  $t$  will not get stuck

(N.b.: we actually state #4a in a slightly more general way...)

# Arithmetic Expressions – Syntax

---

`t ::=`

`true`  
`false`  
`if t then t else t`  
`0`  
`succ t`  
`pred t`  
`iszero t`

*terms*

*constant true*  
*constant false*  
*conditional*  
*constant zero*  
*successor*  
*predecessor*  
*zero test*

`v ::=`

`true`  
`false`  
`nv`

*values*

*true value*  
*false value*  
*numeric value*

`nv ::=`

`0`  
`succ nv`

*numeric values*

*zero value*  
*successor value*

# Evaluation Rules

---

$\text{if true then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \longrightarrow t_2$  (E-IFTRUE)

$\text{if false then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \longrightarrow t_3$  (E-IFFALSE)

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \longrightarrow \text{if } t'_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3}$$
 (E-IF)

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{\text{succ } t_1 \longrightarrow \text{succ } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-SUCC})$$

$$\text{pred } 0 \longrightarrow 0 \quad (\text{E-PREDZERO})$$

$$\text{pred } (\text{succ } nv_1) \longrightarrow nv_1 \quad (\text{E-PREDSUCC})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{\text{pred } t_1 \longrightarrow \text{pred } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-PRED})$$

$$\text{iszero } 0 \longrightarrow \text{true} \quad (\text{E-ISZEROZERO})$$

$$\text{iszero } (\text{succ } nv_1) \longrightarrow \text{false} \quad (\text{E-ISZEROSUCC})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{\text{iszero } t_1 \longrightarrow \text{iszero } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-ISZERO})$$

# Types

---

In this language, values have two possible “shapes”: they are either booleans or numbers.

**T** ::=

**Bool**

**Nat**

*types*

*type of booleans*

*type of numbers*

# Typing Rules

---

`true : Bool` (T-TRUE)

`false : Bool` (T-FALSE)

# Typing Rules

---

`true : Bool` (T-TRUE)

`false : Bool` (T-FALSE)

$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T} \quad (\text{T-IF})$$

# Typing Rules

---

`true : Bool` (T-TRUE)

`false : Bool` (T-FALSE)

$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T}$$
 (T-IF)

`0 : Nat` (T-ZERO)

# Typing Rules

---

$\text{true} : \text{Bool}$  (T-TRUE)

$\text{false} : \text{Bool}$  (T-FALSE)

$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T}$$
 (T-IF)

$0 : \text{Nat}$  (T-ZERO)

$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{succ } t_1 : \text{Nat}}$$
 (T-SUCC)

$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{pred } t_1 : \text{Nat}}$$
 (T-PRED)

# Typing Rules

---

$\text{true} : \text{Bool}$  (T-TRUE)

$\text{false} : \text{Bool}$  (T-FALSE)

$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T}$$
 (T-IF)

$0 : \text{Nat}$  (T-ZERO)

$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{succ } t_1 : \text{Nat}}$$
 (T-SUCC)

$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{pred } t_1 : \text{Nat}}$$
 (T-PRED)

$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{iszero } t_1 : \text{Bool}} \quad (\text{T-ISZERO})$$

$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{iszero } t_1 : \text{Bool}} \quad (\text{T-ISZERO})$$

$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{iszero } t_1 : \text{Bool}} \quad (\text{T-ISZERO})$$

$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{iszero } t_1 : \text{Bool}} \quad (\text{T-ISZERO})$$

$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{iszero } t_1 : \text{Bool}} \quad (\text{T-ISZERO})$$

## Imprecision of Typing

---

Like other static program analyses, type systems are generally **imprecise**: they do not predict exactly what kind of value will be returned by every program, but just a conservative (safe) approximation.

$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T} \quad (\text{T-IF})$$

Using this rule, we cannot assign a type to

`if true then 0 else false`

even though this term will certainly evaluate to a number.

# Properties of the Typing Relation

# Type Safety

---

The safety (or soundness) of this type system can be expressed by two properties:

1. **Progress:** A well-typed term is not stuck

If  $t : T$ , then either  $t$  is a value or else  $t \longrightarrow t'$  for some  $t'$ .

2. **Preservation:** Types are preserved by one-step evaluation

If  $t : T$  and  $t \longrightarrow t'$ , then  $t' : T$ .

# Typing Derivations

Every pair  $(t, T)$  in the typing relation can be justified by a **derivation tree** built from instances of the inference rules.

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{0 : \text{Nat}} \text{T-ZERO}}{\text{iszero } 0 : \text{Bool}} \text{T-ISZERO} \quad \frac{}{0 : \text{Nat}} \text{T-ZERO} \quad \frac{\frac{}{0 : \text{Nat}} \text{T-ZERO}}{\text{pred } 0 : \text{Nat}} \text{T-PRED}}{\text{if iszero } 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else pred } 0 : \text{Nat}} \text{T-IF}$$

Proofs of properties about the typing relation often proceed by induction on typing derivations.

# Inversion

---

## Lemma:

1. If `true` : R, then  $R = \text{Bool}$ .
2. If `false` : R, then  $R = \text{Bool}$ .
3. If `if t1 then t2 else t3` : R, then  $t_1 : \text{Bool}$ ,  $t_2 : R$ , and  $t_3 : R$ .
4. If `0` : R, then  $R = \text{Nat}$ .
5. If `succ t1` : R, then  $R = \text{Nat}$  and  $t_1 : \text{Nat}$ .
6. If `pred t1` : R, then  $R = \text{Nat}$  and  $t_1 : \text{Nat}$ .
7. If `iszero t1` : R, then  $R = \text{Bool}$  and  $t_1 : \text{Nat}$ .

# Inversion

---

## Lemma:

1. If `true : R`, then `R = Bool`.
2. If `false : R`, then `R = Bool`.
3. If `if t1 then t2 else t3 : R`, then `t1 : Bool`, `t2 : R`, and `t3 : R`.
4. If `0 : R`, then `R = Nat`.
5. If `succ t1 : R`, then `R = Nat` and `t1 : Nat`.
6. If `pred t1 : R`, then `R = Nat` and `t1 : Nat`.
7. If `iszero t1 : R`, then `R = Bool` and `t1 : Nat`.

Proof: ...

# Inversion

---

## Lemma:

1. If `true : R`, then `R = Bool`.
2. If `false : R`, then `R = Bool`.
3. If `if t1 then t2 else t3 : R`, then `t1 : Bool`, `t2 : R`, and `t3 : R`.
4. If `0 : R`, then `R = Nat`.
5. If `succ t1 : R`, then `R = Nat` and `t1 : Nat`.
6. If `pred t1 : R`, then `R = Nat` and `t1 : Nat`.
7. If `iszero t1 : R`, then `R = Bool` and `t1 : Nat`.

Proof: ...

This leads directly to a recursive algorithm for calculating the type of a term...

# Typechecking Algorithm

---

```
typeof(t) = if t = true then Bool
            else if t = false then Bool
            else if t = if t1 then t2 else t3 then
                let T1 = typeof(t1) in
                let T2 = typeof(t2) in
                let T3 = typeof(t3) in
                if T1 = Bool and T2=T3 then T2
                else "not typable"
            else if t = 0 then Nat
            else if t = succ t1 then
                let T1 = typeof(t1) in
                if T1 = Nat then Nat else "not typable"
            else if t = pred t1 then
                let T1 = typeof(t1) in
                if T1 = Nat then Nat else "not typable"
            else if t = iszero t1 then
                let T1 = typeof(t1) in
                if T1 = Nat then Bool else "not typable"
```

# Canonical Forms

---

## Lemma:

1. If  $v$  is a value of type `Bool`, then  $v$  is either `true` or `false`.
2. If  $v$  is a value of type `Nat`, then  $v$  is a numeric value

# Canonical Forms

---

**Lemma:**

1. If  $v$  is a value of type `Bool`, then  $v$  is either `true` or `false`.
2. If  $v$  is a value of type `Nat`, then  $v$  is a numeric value

**Proof:** ...

# Progress

---

**Theorem:** Suppose  $t$  is a well-typed term (that is,  $t : T$  for some  $T$ ). Then either  $t$  is a value or else there is some  $t'$  with  $t \longrightarrow t'$ .

# Progress

---

**Theorem:** Suppose  $t$  is a well-typed term (that is,  $t : T$  for some  $T$ ). Then either  $t$  is a value or else there is some  $t'$  with  $t \longrightarrow t'$ .

**Proof:**

# Progress

---

**Theorem:** Suppose  $t$  is a well-typed term (that is,  $t : T$  for some  $T$ ). Then either  $t$  is a value or else there is some  $t'$  with  $t \longrightarrow t'$ .

**Proof:** By induction on a derivation of  $t : T$ .

# Progress

---

**Theorem:** Suppose  $t$  is a well-typed term (that is,  $t : T$  for some  $T$ ). Then either  $t$  is a value or else there is some  $t'$  with  $t \longrightarrow t'$ .

**Proof:** By induction on a derivation of  $t : T$ .

The T-TRUE, T-FALSE, and T-ZERO cases are immediate, since  $t$  in these cases is a value.

# Progress

---

**Theorem:** Suppose  $t$  is a well-typed term (that is,  $t : T$  for some  $T$ ). Then either  $t$  is a value or else there is some  $t'$  with  $t \longrightarrow t'$ .

**Proof:** By induction on a derivation of  $t : T$ .

The T-TRUE, T-FALSE, and T-ZERO cases are immediate, since  $t$  in these cases is a value.

Case T-IF:      $t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$   
                   $t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T$

## Progress

**Theorem:** Suppose  $t$  is a well-typed term (that is,  $t : T$  for some  $T$ ). Then either  $t$  is a value or else there is some  $t'$  with  $t \longrightarrow t'$ .

**Proof:** By induction on a derivation of  $t : T$ .

The T-TRUE, T-FALSE, and T-ZERO cases are immediate, since  $t$  in these cases is a value.

Case T-IF:  $t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$   
 $t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T$

By the induction hypothesis, either  $t_1$  is a value or else there is some  $t'_1$  such that  $t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1$ . If  $t_1$  is a value, then the canonical forms lemma tells us that it must be either `true` or `false`, in which case either E-IFTRUE or E-IFFALSE applies to  $t$ . On the other hand, if  $t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1$ , then, by E-IF,  $t \longrightarrow \text{if } t'_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ .

# Preservation

---

**Theorem:** If  $t : T$  and  $t \longrightarrow t'$ , then  $t' : T$ .

# Preservation

---

**Theorem:** If  $t : T$  and  $t \longrightarrow t'$ , then  $t' : T$ .

**Proof:** ...