

Midterm 1 results

- ◆ Did you lose a watch?
- ◆ Pick up exams from Cheryl Hickey.
- ◆ Statistics
- ◆ Max score: 78
- ◆ Min score: 24
- ◆ Average: 57
- ◆ Median: 60
- ◆ Std dev: 12
- ◆ Regrade policy: Must submit request to me **in writing** within 2 weeks (by Nov 1).

CIS 500
 Software Foundations
 Fall 2004
 18 October

Extra Credit

- Course grades can be improved after the semester ends in two ways:
1. A 1/3 letter grade improvement can be obtained by doing a substantial extra credit project (~30 hours work) during the Spring semester.
 2. Larger grade improvements can (only) be obtained by sitting in on the course next year and turning in all homeworks and exams.

Announcements

- ◆ Homework 5 will be posted today—due in one week.
- ◆ Normal office hours/recitation schedule.
- ◆ No good date for midterm. Looks like 12/20 1:30-3:30 is the best.

Lambda-calculus with booleans

$t ::=$ variable
 $\lambda x:T.t$ abstraction
 $t \ t$ application
 constant true
 constant false
 $\text{if } t \text{ then } t \text{ else } t$ conditional
 values
 $\lambda x.t$ abstraction value
 true value
 false value
 type of booleans
 $T \rightarrow T$ types of functions

Plans for today

- ◆ Simply-typed lambda-calculus
- ◆ Extensions of those simple types
- ◆ Connection to untyped calculus
- ◆ Connection to logic

Operational Semantics

(E-APPABS) $(\lambda x:T.t_1) \ v_2 \rightarrow [x \mapsto v_2]t_1$
 (E-IFTRUE) if true then t_2 else $t_3 \rightarrow t_2$
 (E-IFFALSE) if false then t_2 else $t_3 \rightarrow t_3$
 (E-APP1) $t_1 \rightarrow t'_1$ $\frac{t_1 \ t_2 \rightarrow t'_1 \ t_2}{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}$
 (E-APP2) $t_2 \rightarrow t'_2$ $\frac{v_1 \ t_2 \rightarrow v_1 \ t'_2}{t_2 \rightarrow t'_2}$
 (E-IF) $t_1 \rightarrow t'_1$ $\frac{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \rightarrow \text{if } t'_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3}{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}$

The Simply Typed Lambda-Calculus

Proving Preservation

Theorem: If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$.

Proof: By induction

Proving Preservation

Theorem: If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$.

Proof: By induction on typing derivations.

[Which case is the hard one?]

Typing rules

(T-TRUE) $\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : T$

(T-FALSE) $\Gamma \vdash \text{false} : T$

(T-IF) $\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T \quad \Gamma \vdash t_3 : T}$

(T-VAR) $\frac{x : T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : T}$

(T-ABS) $\frac{\Gamma, x : T_1 \vdash t_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : T_1. t_2 : T_1 \rightarrow T_2}$

(T-APP) $\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 \ t_2 : T_1 \ T_2}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \rightarrow T_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_1}$

Properties of $\lambda \rightarrow$

As before, the fundamental property of the type system we have just defined is **soundness** with respect to the operational semantics.

1. **Progress:** A closed, well-typed term is not stuck

If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$, then either t is a value or else $t \rightarrow t'$ for some t' .

2. **Preservation:** Types are preserved by one-step evaluation

If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$.

Proving Preservation

Theorem: If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$.

Proof: By induction on typing derivations.

[Which case is the hard one?]

Case T-APP: Given $t = t_1 t_2$
 $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12}$
 $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_{11}$
 $T = T_{12}$
 Show $\Gamma \vdash t' : T_{12}$

By the inversion lemma for evaluation, there are three subcases...

Case T-APP: Given $t = t_1 t_2$
 $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12}$
 $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_{11}$
 $T = T_{12}$
 Show $\Gamma \vdash t' : T_{12}$

Theorem: If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$.

Proof: By induction on typing derivations.

[Which case is the hard one?]

Case T-APP: Given $t = t_1 t_2$
 $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12}$
 $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_{11}$
 $T = T_{12}$
 Show $\Gamma \vdash t' : T_{12}$

By the inversion lemma for evaluation, there are three subcases...

Case T-APP: Given $t = t_1 t_2$
 $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12}$
 $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_{11}$
 $T = T_{12}$
 Show $\Gamma \vdash t' : T_{12}$

Proving Preservation

Theorem: If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$.

Proof: By induction on typing derivations.

[Which case is the hard one?]

Case T-APP: Given $t = t_1 t_2$
 $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12}$
 $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_{11}$
 $T = T_{12}$
 Show $\Gamma \vdash t' : T_{12}$

By the inversion lemma for evaluation, there are three subcases...

Case T-APP: Given $t = t_1 t_2$
 $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12}$
 $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_{11}$
 $T = T_{12}$
 Show $\Gamma \vdash t' : T_{12}$

Proving Preservation

Theorem: If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$.

Proof: By induction on typing derivations.

[Which case is the hard one?]

Case T-APP: Given $t = t_1 t_2$
 $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12}$
 $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_{11}$
 $T = T_{12}$
 Show $\Gamma \vdash t' : T_{12}$

By the inversion lemma for evaluation, there are three subcases...

Case T-APP: Given $t = t_1 t_2$
 $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12}$
 $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_{11}$
 $T = T_{12}$
 Show $\Gamma \vdash t' : T_{12}$

Subcase: $t_1 = \lambda x:T_{11}. t_{12}$

t_2 a value v_2

$t' = [x \mapsto v_2]t_{12}$

Uh oh.

Proving Preservation

Theorem: If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$.

Proof: By induction on typing derivations.

[Which case is the hard one?]

Case T-APP: Given $t = t_1 t_2$
 $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12}$
 $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_{11}$
 $T = T_{12}$
 Show $\Gamma \vdash t' : T_{12}$

By the inversion lemma for evaluation, there are three subcases...

Case T-APP: Given $t = t_1 t_2$
 $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12}$
 $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_{11}$
 $T = T_{12}$
 Show $\Gamma \vdash t' : T_{12}$

Theorem: If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$.

Proof: By induction on typing derivations.

[Which case is the hard one?]

Case T-APP: Given $t = t_1 t_2$
 $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12}$
 $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_{11}$
 $T = T_{12}$
 Show $\Gamma \vdash t' : T_{12}$

By the inversion lemma for evaluation, there are three subcases...

Case T-APP: Given $t = t_1 t_2$
 $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12}$
 $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_{11}$
 $T = T_{12}$
 Show $\Gamma \vdash t' : T_{12}$

Proving Preservation

Theorem: If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$.

Proof: By induction on typing derivations.

[Which case is the hard one?]

Case T-APP: Given $t = t_1 t_2$
 $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12}$
 $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_{11}$
 $T = T_{12}$
 Show $\Gamma \vdash t' : T_{12}$

By the inversion lemma for evaluation, there are three subcases...

Case T-APP: Given $t = t_1 t_2$
 $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12}$
 $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_{11}$
 $T = T_{12}$
 Show $\Gamma \vdash t' : T_{12}$

On to real programming languages...

Lemma: Types are preserved under substitution.
 If $\Gamma, x:S \vdash t : T$ and $\Gamma \vdash s : S$, then $\Gamma \vdash [x \mapsto s]t : T$.

The “Substitution Lemma”

Proof: ...

Lemma: Types are preserved under substitution.
 If $\Gamma, x:S \vdash t : T$ and $\Gamma \vdash s : S$, then $\Gamma \vdash [x \mapsto s]t : T$.

The “Substitution Lemma”

New typing rules

- $t ::= \dots$ **unit**
- $v ::= \dots$ **unit**
- $\tau ::= \dots$ **Unit**

- terms* **constant unit**
- values* **constant unit**
- types* **unit type**

The Unit type

$\Gamma \vdash \text{unit} : \text{Unit}$

$\Gamma \vdash t : T$

$(T\text{-UNIT})$

- ◆ Syntactic sugar
- ◆ Internal language vs. external (surface) language

Derived forms

$t_1; t_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\lambda x:\text{Unit}. t_2) t_1$
 where $x \notin FV(t_2)$

Sequencing as a derived form

$t ::= \dots$
 $t_1; t_2$
terms

Sequencing

$t ::= \dots$
 $t_1; t_2$
terms

Sequencing

(E-Seq) $\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{t_1; t_2 \rightarrow t'_1; t_2}$

(E-SeqNEXT) $\text{unit}; t_2 \rightarrow t_2$

(I-Seq) $\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1; t_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Unit} \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_2}$ (I-Seq)

Ascription as a derived form

$$t \text{ as } T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\lambda x:T. x) t$$

Equivalence of the two definitions

- ◆ $t \rightarrow_e t' \text{ iff } e(t) \rightarrow_e e(t')$
- ◆ $\Gamma \vdash_e t : T \text{ iff } \Gamma \vdash e(t) : T$

Let-bindings

New syntactic forms

$t ::= \dots$

$\text{let } x=t \text{ in } t$

New evaluation rules

$$\text{let } x=v_1 \text{ in } t_2 \rightarrow [x \mapsto v_1]t_2$$

$$t_1 \rightarrow t'_1$$

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{let } x=t_1 \text{ in } t_2 \rightarrow \text{let } x=t'_1 \text{ in } t_2}$$

New typing rules

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \quad \Gamma, x:T_1 \vdash t_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x=t_1 \text{ in } t_2 : T_2}$$

(T-LET)

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash t : T}$$

(E-LET)

(E-LETV)

$$\boxed{t \rightarrow t'}$$

terms
let binding

Ascription

New syntactic forms

$t ::= \dots$

$t \text{ as } T$

New evaluation rules

$$v_1 \text{ as } T \rightarrow v_1$$

$$t_1 \rightarrow t'_1$$

$$\frac{t_1 \text{ as } T \rightarrow t'_1 \text{ as } T}{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}$$

New typing rules

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 \text{ as } T : T}$$

(T-ASCRIBE)

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash t : T}$$

(E-ASCRIBE1)

(E-ASCRIBE)

$$\boxed{t \rightarrow t'}$$

terms
ascription

Typing rules for pairs

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{(T-PAIR)} \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \{t_1, t_2\} : T_1 \times T_2} \\ \\ \text{(T-PROJ1)} \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \times T_2}{\Gamma \vdash t_1.1 : T_1} \\ \\ \text{(T-PROJ2)} \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \times T_2}{\Gamma \vdash t_1.2 : T_2} \end{array}$$

Tuples

$$\begin{array}{l} t ::= \dots \\ \{t_i \mid i \in 1..n\} \\ \\ v ::= \dots \\ \{v_i \mid i \in 1..n\} \\ \\ T ::= \dots \\ \{T_i \mid i \in 1..n\} \end{array}$$

terms
tuple
projection

values
tuple value

types
tuple type

Pairs

$$\begin{array}{l} t ::= \dots \\ \{t, t\} \\ t.1 \\ t.2 \\ \\ v ::= \dots \\ \{v, v\} \\ \\ T ::= \dots \\ T_1 \times T_2 \end{array}$$

terms
pair
first projection
second projection

values
pair value

types
product type

Evaluation rules for pairs

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{(E-PAIRBETA1)} \quad \{v_1, v_2\}.1 \rightarrow v_1 \\ \text{(E-PAIRBETA2)} \quad \{v_1, v_2\}.2 \rightarrow v_2 \\ \\ \text{(E-PROJ1)} \quad \frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{t_1.1 \rightarrow t'_1.1} \\ \text{(E-PROJ2)} \quad \frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{t_1.2 \rightarrow t'_1.2} \\ \\ \text{(E-PAIR1)} \quad \frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\{t_1, t_2\} \rightarrow \{t'_1, t_2\}} \\ \text{(E-PAIR2)} \quad \frac{t_2 \rightarrow t'_2}{\{v_1, t_2\} \rightarrow \{v_1, t'_2\}} \end{array}$$

Records

terms $t ::= \dots$ $\{l_i = t_i\}_{i \in I, |I|=n}$ $t.l$

record projection $t.l$

values $v ::= \dots$ $\{l_i = v_i\}_{i \in I, |I|=n}$

record value $\{l_i = v_i\}_{i \in I, |I|=n}$

types $T ::= \dots$ $\{l_i : T_i\}_{i \in I, |I|=n}$

type of records $\{l_i : T_i\}_{i \in I, |I|=n}$

Evaluation rules for tuples

(E-PROJTUPLE) $\{v_i\}_{i \in I, |I|=n}.j \rightarrow v_j$

(E-PROJ)
$$\frac{t_i \rightarrow t'_i}{t_i.l \rightarrow t'_i.l}$$

(E-TUPLE)
$$\frac{t_j \rightarrow t'_j \quad \{v_i\}_{i \in I, |I|=n}.t_j, t_k \rightarrow \{v_i\}_{i \in I, |I|=n}.t'_j, t_k}{t_j \rightarrow t'_j}$$

Evaluation rules for records

(E-PROJRCD) $\{l_i = v_i\}_{i \in I, |I|=n}.l_j \rightarrow v_j$

(E-PROJ)
$$\frac{t_i.l \rightarrow t'_i.l}{t_i \rightarrow t'_i}$$

(E-RCD)
$$\frac{\{l_i = v_i\}_{i \in I, |I|=n}.l_j = t_j, l_k = t_k \quad \{l_i = v_i\}_{i \in I, |I|=n}.l_j = t'_j, l_k = t'_k}{t_j \rightarrow t'_j}$$

Typing rules for tuples

(T-TUPLE)
$$\frac{\text{for each } i \quad \Gamma \vdash t_i : T_i}{\Gamma \vdash \{t_i\}_{i \in I, |I|=n} : \{T_i\}_{i \in I, |I|=n}}$$

(T-PROJ)
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_i.j : T_j}{\Gamma \vdash t_i : \{T_i\}_{i \in I, |I|=n}}$$

Connection with untyped lambda calculus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{erase}(x) &= x \\ \text{erase}(\lambda x:T_1. t_2) &= \lambda x. \text{erase}(t_2) \\ \text{erase}(t_1 t_2) &= \text{erase}(t_1) \text{erase}(t_2) \end{aligned}$$

Erase

Theorem:
 1. If $t \rightarrow t'$ then $\text{erase}(t) \rightarrow \text{erase}(t')$.
 2. If $\text{erase}(t) \rightarrow m'$, then there is a simply typed term t' such that $t \rightarrow t'$ and $\text{erase}(t') = m'$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(T-RCD)} \quad & \frac{\text{for each } i \quad \Gamma \vdash t_i : T_i}{\Gamma \vdash \{t_i = t_i\}_{i \in 1..n} : \{T_i\}_{i \in 1..n}} \\ \text{(T-Prod)} \quad & \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1, t_2 : T_1, T_2}{\Gamma \vdash t_1, t_2 : T_1 \times T_2} \end{aligned}$$

Typing rules for records

An **introduction form** for a given type gives us a way of **constructing** elements of this type.
 An **elimination form** for a type gives us a way of **using** elements of this type.
 What typing rules are introduction forms? What are elimination forms?

Intro vs. elim forms

The Curry-Howard Correspondence

In *constructive logics*, a proof of P must provide *evidence* for P .
 ♦ “law of the excluded middle” — $P \vee \neg P$ — not recognized.
 A proof of $P \wedge Q$ is a *pair* of evidence for P and evidence for Q .
 A proof of $P \supset Q$ is a *procedure* for transforming evidence for P into evidence for Q .

Propositions as Types

Logic	Propositions
PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES	types
proposition $P \supset Q$	type $P \rightarrow Q$
proposition $P \wedge Q$	type $P \times Q$
proposition <i>true</i>	type <i>unit</i>
proof of proposition P	term t of type P
proposition P is provable	type P is inhabited (by some term)

Typability

An untyped λ -term m is said to be *typable* if there is some term t in the simply typed lambda-calculus, some type T , and some context Γ such that $erase(t) = m$ and $\Gamma \vdash t : T$.
 Cf. *type reconstruction* in OCaml.

Connection to Logic

