## Lecture 19: Ensembles (Part 1)

CIS 4190/5190 Fall 2024

#### Announcements

- Projects teams
  - Img2GPS: 22 teams
  - News source classification: 38 teams
  - Audio classification: 8 teams
- HW 2 grades released
- HW 4 due on Nov 20
- Midterm 2 scheduled for 12/9
  - Cumulative
  - Similar to midterm 1 in terms of exam time, location, #questions, cheat sheet.

## **Decision Tree Shortcomings**



Decision tree example from: Martignon and Monti. (2010). Conditions for risk assessment as a topic for probabilistic education. *Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Teaching Statistics* (ICOTS8).

# **Decision Tree Shortcomings**

- Hard to manage bias-variance tradeoff
  - Small depth  $\rightarrow$  High bias, low variance
  - Large depth → Small bias, high variance

## **Post Pruning**

**def** PostPruneTree(*T*, *Z*<sub>train</sub>, *Z*<sub>val</sub>):

for each internal node N of T:  $T_{N} \leftarrow \text{Replace}\left(T, N, \text{LeafNode}(\text{Mode}(Z_{\text{train}}[N]))\right)$   $g_{N} \leftarrow \text{Loss}(T, Z_{\text{val}}) - \text{Loss}(T_{N}, Z_{\text{val}})$   $N_{0} \leftarrow \arg\max_{N} g_{N}$ if  $g_{N_{0}} > 0$ : return PostPruneTree $(T_{N}, Z_{\text{train}}, Z_{\text{val}})$ else:

return T



## **Post Pruning**

**def** PostPruneTree(*T*, *Z*<sub>train</sub>, *Z*<sub>val</sub>):

for each internal node N of T:  $T_{N} \leftarrow \text{Replace}\left(T, N, \text{LeafNode}(\text{Mode}(Z_{\text{train}}[N]))\right)$   $g_{N} \leftarrow \text{Loss}(T, Z_{\text{val}}) - \text{Loss}(T_{N}, Z_{\text{val}})$   $N_{0} \leftarrow \arg \max_{N} g_{N}$ if  $g_{N_{0}} > 0$ : return PostPruneTree $(T_{N}, Z_{\text{train}}, Z_{\text{val}})$ else:

return T



# **Decision Tree Shortcomings**

- Hard to manage bias-variance tradeoff
  - Small depth → High bias, low variance
  - Large depth  $\rightarrow$  Small bias, high variance
- Can we manage this tradeoff in a more principled way?
- Idea: Can we use model combination to control the trade-off more gracefully?

General mechanism for <u>reducing variance</u> in a, almost always, model agnostic way

## **Ensemble Learning**

- Step 1: Learn a set of "base" models  $f_1, \ldots, f_k$
- Step 2: Construct model F(x) that combines predictions of  $f_1, \dots, f_k$

# **Ensemble Design Decisions**

- How to learn the base models?
  - Main goal: establish diversity
- <u>How to combine the learned base models?</u>

- **Regression:** Average predictions  $F(x) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} f_i(x)$ 
  - Works well if the base models have similar performance



- **Classification:** Majority vote  $F(x) = 1\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} f_i(x) \ge \frac{k}{2}\right)$  (for binary)
  - Can also average probabilities for classification



• Can use weighted average:

$$F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_i \cdot f_i(x)$$

- Can fit weights using linear regression on second training set
- More generally, can fit a second layer model

$$F(x) = g_{\beta}(f_1(x), \dots, f_k(x))$$

• Second model as "mixture of experts":

$$F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} g(x)_i \cdot f_i(x)$$

• Second stage model predicts weights over "experts"  $f_i(x)$ 

- Second model as "mixture of experts":
  - Special case: g(x) is one-hot
  - Advantage: Only need to run g(x) and  $f_{g(x)}(x)$



## Example: Netflix Movie Recommendations

- Goal: Predict how a user will rate a movie based on:
  - The user's ratings for other movies
  - Other users' ratings for this movie (and others)
- Netflix Prize (2007-2009): \$1 million for the first team to do 10% better than the existing Netflix recommendation system
- Winner: BellKor's Pragmatic Chaos
  - An ensemble of 800+ rating systems

# **Ensemble Design Decisions**

- How to learn the base models?
- How to combine the learned base models?

## Learning Base Models

- Successful ensembles require diversity
  - Different model families
  - Different training data
  - Different features
  - Different hyperparameters
- Intuition: Models should make independent mistakes

## Learning Base Models

• Intuition: Models should make independent mistakes



## Learning Base Models

• Intuition: Models should make independent mistakes



# Bagging

- **Bagging:** Randomize training data ("Boostrap Aggregating")
  - Random examples: Subsample examples  $\{(x, y)\}$  (obtain  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n' \times d}$ )
- Meta-strategy that can build ensembles from arbitrary base learners

#### Bootstrap

• Subsample examples  $\{(x, y)\}$  with replacement (obtain  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ )

• Excludes 
$$\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^n$$
 of the training examples

• Separately in each of the replicates

• As 
$$n \to \infty$$
, excludes  $\to \frac{1}{e} \approx 36.8\%$  examples

• Has good statistical properties

### Randomizing Learning Algorithms



#### **Random Forests**

- Train many decision trees and average them!
  - Large depth  $\rightarrow$  High variance, low bias
  - Averaging many decision trees  $\rightarrow$  average away "irrelevant" variance
- Very powerful model family in practice

## **Random Forests**

- Ensemble of decision trees using bagging
  - Typically use simple average

#### • Intuition:

- Large decision trees are good nonlinear models, but high variance
- Random forests average over many decision trees to reduce variance without increasing bias

#### **Random Forests**

- Tweak 1: Randomize features in learning algorithm
  - At DT node splitting step, subsample  $\approx \sqrt{d}$  features
  - Allows each tree to use all features, but not at every node
  - Aside: If a few features are highly predictive, then they will be selected in many trees, causing the base models to be highly correlated
- Tweak 2: Train unpruned decision trees
  - Ensures base models have higher capacity
  - Intuition: Skipping pruning increases variance

## **Ensemble Learning**



# **Bagging based Ensembles**

- Step 1: Create bootstrap replicates of the original training dataset
- Step 2: Train a classifier for each replicate
- Step 3 (Optional): Use held-out validation set to weight models
  - Can just use average predictions

## Boosting

- Can we turn weak learning algorithms into strong ones?
- Assume we have a very high bias model, can we make it better?
- **Provably learns** for base models achieving any error rate > 0.5
- In the context of tree, assume very short trees (depth 3-6).

## AdaBoost (Freund & Schapire 1997)

- Like bagging, meta-algorithm that turns base models into ensemble
  Provably learns for base models achieving any error rate > 0.5
- Uses **different training example weights** (instead of different subsamples or different features) to introduce diversity
  - In particular, **upweights** currently incorrectly predicted examples
- Base models should satisfy the following:
  - High-bias/low-capacity (e.g., depth-limited decision trees, linear classifiers)
  - Able to incorporate sample weights during learning
  - Specific to classification (discuss general losses later)

## AdaBoost (Freund & Schapire 1997)

#### • Input

- Training dataset Z
- Learning algorithm Train(Z, w) that can handle weights w
- Hyperparameter T indicating number of models to train

#### • Output

• Ensemble of models  $F(x) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \beta_t \cdot f_t(x)$ 

# AdaBoost Weighting Strategy

- Iteratively learn the ensemble one by one based on past performance
- On each iteration:
  - Misclassified examples are upweighted
  - Correctly classified are downweighted
- If an example is repeatedly misclassified, it will eventually be upweighted so much that it is correctly classified
- Emphasizes "hardest" parts of the input space
  - Instances with highest weight are often outliers



1. 
$$w_1 \leftarrow \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) (w_{1,i} \text{ weight for } (x_i, y_i))$$
  
2. for  $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$   
3.  $f_t \leftarrow \text{Train}(Z, w_t)$   
4.  $\epsilon_t \leftarrow \text{Error}(f_t, Z, w_t)$   
5.  $\beta_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}$   
6.  $w_{t+1,i} \propto w_{t,i} \cdot e^{-\beta_t \cdot y_i \cdot f_t(x_i)} \text{ (for all } i)$   
7. return  $F(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{t=1}^T \beta_t \cdot f_t(x))$ 



AdaBoost  
1. 
$$w_1 \leftarrow \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) (w_{1,i} \text{ weight for } (x_i, y_i))$$
  
2. for  $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$   
3.  $f_t \leftarrow \operatorname{Train}(Z, w_t)$   
4.  $\epsilon_t \leftarrow \operatorname{Error}(f_t, Z, w_t)$   
5.  $\beta_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}$   
6.  $w_{t+1,i} \propto w_{t,i} \cdot e^{-\beta_t \cdot y_i \cdot f_t(x_i)} \text{ (for all } i)$   
7. return  $F(x) = \operatorname{sign}(\sum_{t=1}^T \beta_t \cdot f_t(x))$ 

$$t = 1$$





1. 
$$w_1 \leftarrow \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) (w_{1,i} \text{ weight for } (x_i, y_i))$$
  
2. **for**  $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$   
3.  $f_t \leftarrow \text{Train}(Z, w_t)$   
4.  $\epsilon_t \leftarrow \text{Error}(f_t, Z, w_t)$   
5.  $\beta_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}$   
6.  $w_{t+1,i} \propto w_{t,i} \cdot e^{-\beta_t \cdot y_i \cdot f_t(x_i)} \text{ (for all } i)$   
7. **return**  $F(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{t=1}^T \beta_t \cdot f_t(x))$   
Use convention  $y_i \in \{-1, +1\}$   
If correct  $(y_i = f_t(x_i))$  then multiply by  $e^{-\beta_t}$   
If incorrect  $(y_i \neq f_t(x_i))$  then multiply by  $e^{\beta_t}$ 



$$t = 1$$

1. 
$$w_1 \leftarrow \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) (w_{1,i} \text{ weight for } (x_i, y_i))$$
  
2. for  $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$   
3.  $f_t \leftarrow \text{Train}(Z, w_t)$   
4.  $\epsilon_t \leftarrow \text{Error}(f_t, Z, w_t)$   
5.  $\beta_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}$   
6.  $w_{t+1,i} \propto w_{t,i} \cdot e^{-\beta_t \cdot y_i \cdot f_t(x_i)} \text{ (for all } i)$   
7. return  $F(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{t=1}^T \beta_t \cdot f_t(x))$ 



1. 
$$w_1 \leftarrow \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) (w_{1,i} \text{ weight for } (x_i, y_i))$$
  
2. for  $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$   
3.  $f_t \leftarrow \text{Train}(Z, w_t)$   
4.  $\epsilon_t \leftarrow \text{Error}(f_t, Z, w_t)$   
5.  $\beta_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}$   
6.  $w_{t+1,i} \propto w_{t,i} \cdot e^{-\beta_t \cdot y_i \cdot f_t(x_i)} \text{ (for all } i)$   
7. return  $F(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{t=1}^T \beta_t \cdot f_t(x))$ 



$$t = 2$$

1. 
$$w_1 \leftarrow \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) (w_{1,i} \text{ weight for } (x_i, y_i))$$
  
2. for  $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$   
3.  $f_t \leftarrow \text{Train}(Z, w_t)$   
4.  $\epsilon_t \leftarrow \text{Error}(f_t, Z, w_t)$   
5.  $\beta_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}$   
6.  $w_{t+1,i} \propto w_{t,i} \cdot e^{-\beta_t \cdot y_i \cdot f_t(x_i)} \text{ (for all } i)$   
7. return  $F(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{t=1}^T \beta_t \cdot f_t(x))$ 



$$t = 2$$

1. 
$$w_1 \leftarrow \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) (w_{1,i} \text{ weight for } (x_i, y_i))$$
  
2. for  $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$   
3.  $f_t \leftarrow \text{Train}(Z, w_t)$   
4.  $\epsilon_t \leftarrow \text{Error}(f_t, Z, w_t)$   
5.  $\beta_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}$   
6.  $w_{t+1,i} \propto w_{t,i} \cdot e^{-\beta_t \cdot y_i \cdot f_t(x_i)} \text{ (for all } i)$   
7. return  $F(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{t=1}^T \beta_t \cdot f_t(x))$ 



$$t = 2$$

1. 
$$w_1 \leftarrow \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) (w_{1,i} \text{ weight for } (x_i, y_i))$$
  
2. for  $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$   
3.  $f_t \leftarrow \text{Train}(Z, w_t)$   
4.  $\epsilon_t \leftarrow \text{Error}(f_t, Z, w_t)$   
5.  $\beta_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}$   
6.  $w_{t+1,i} \propto w_{t,i} \cdot e^{-\beta_t \cdot y_i \cdot f_t(x_i)} \text{ (for all } i)$   
7. return  $F(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{t=1}^T \beta_t \cdot f_t(x))$ 



$$t = 3$$

1. 
$$w_1 \leftarrow \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) (w_{1,i} \text{ weight for } (x_i, y_i))$$
  
2. for  $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$   
3.  $f_t \leftarrow \text{Train}(Z, w_t)$   
4.  $\epsilon_t \leftarrow \text{Error}(f_t, Z, w_t)$   
5.  $\beta_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}$   
6.  $w_{t+1,i} \propto w_{t,i} \cdot e^{-\beta_t \cdot y_i \cdot f_t(x_i)} \text{ (for all } i)$   
7. return  $F(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{t=1}^T \beta_t \cdot f_t(x))$ 



$$t = 3$$

1. 
$$w_1 \leftarrow \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) (w_{1,i} \text{ weight for } (x_i, y_i))$$
  
2. for  $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$   
3.  $f_t \leftarrow \text{Train}(Z, w_t)$   
4.  $\epsilon_t \leftarrow \text{Error}(f_t, Z, w_t)$   
5.  $\beta_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}$   
6.  $w_{t+1,i} \propto w_{t,i} \cdot e^{-\beta_t \cdot y_i \cdot f_t(x_i)} \text{ (for all } i)$   
7. return  $F(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{t=1}^T \beta_t \cdot f_t(x))$ 



1. 
$$w_1 \leftarrow \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) (w_{1,i} \text{ weight for } (x_i, y_i))$$
  
2. for  $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$   
3.  $f_t \leftarrow \text{Train}(Z, w_t)$   
4.  $\epsilon_t \leftarrow \text{Error}(f_t, Z, w_t)$   
5.  $\beta_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}$   
6.  $w_{t+1,i} \propto w_{t,i} \cdot e^{-\beta_t \cdot y_i \cdot f_t(x_i)} \text{ (for all } i)$   
7. return  $F(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{t=1}^T \beta_t \cdot f_t(x))$ 



Under certain assumptions, training error prime prim

1. 
$$w_1 \leftarrow \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) (w_{1,i} \text{ weight for } (x_i, y_i))$$
  
2. for  $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$   
3.  $f_t \leftarrow \text{Train}(Z, w_t)$   
4.  $\epsilon_t \leftarrow \text{Error}(f_t, Z, w_t)$   
5.  $\beta_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}$   
6.  $w_{t+1,i} \propto w_{t,i} \cdot e^{-\beta_t \cdot y_i \cdot f_t(x_i)} \text{ (for all } i)$   
7. return  $F(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{t=1}^T \beta_t \cdot f_t(x))$ 

final model is average of base models weighted by their performance



# AdaBoost Weighting Strategy

- On each iteration:
  - Misclassified examples are upweighted
  - Correctly classified are downweighted
- If an example is repeatedly misclassified, it will eventually be upweighted so much that it is correctly classified
- Emphasizes "hardest" parts of the input space
  - Instances with highest weight are often outliers

### Aside: Learning with Weighted Examples

- Many algorithms can directly incorporate weights into the loss
- For maximum likelihood estimation:

$$\ell(\beta; \mathbf{Z}, w) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \cdot \log p_\beta(\mathbf{y}_i \mid \mathbf{x}_i)$$

• Alternatively, can subsample the data proportional to weights  $w_i$ 

## AdaBoost Summary

#### • Strengths:

- Fast and simple to implement
- No hyperparameters (except for T)
- Very few assumptions on base models

#### • Weaknesses:

- Can be susceptible to noise/outliers when there is insufficient data
- No way to parallelize
- Small gains over complex base models
- Specific to classification!

## AdaBoost and Overfitting

- Basic ML theory predicts AdaBoost always overfits as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ 
  - Hypothesis keeps growing more complex!
  - In practice, AdaBoost often does not overfit

