Problem Solving Practice

CIT 5940

January 24, 2025

DO NOT WRITE ANYTHING ON THIS PAGE!

In this activity, you will design and partially implement a `Leaderboard` class. A `Leaderboard` is an ordered and sorted listing of `Score` records. The `Score` class is implemented with the following instance variables:

```
1 public class Score implements Comparable<Score> {
2     private int points;
3     private String name;
4
5
6     ...
7 }
```

A `Leaderboard` will store `Score` records in descending order where the order is determined by the points values of the records. A `Leaderboard` can be updated by adding a new `Score`, although there is no guarantee how the new `Score` compares to previously added ones.

Question 1. Understand the Problem: Choice of Data Structure

Arrays and Lists in Java are both ordered structures. They can also both be managed so that they remain sorted. Compare the **affordances** of Arrays vs. Lists and recommend which one of these two you would suggest using.

Solution:

Arrays are fixed in size and support random access/updating. It is not trivial to insert within an array nor to track the number of non-empty spaces. Lists grow and shrink based on the elements added/inserted/deleted, which is all handled for you. It does require additional planning to implement a maximum size for a List, but this is not a concern here, and anyway it's easier to do than implement a random access insert operation for Arrays, so I will suggest that a List is the suitable choice.

Question 2. Write Tests

The `Leaderboard` class will include a method `int addNewScore(Score s)` that takes in a new `Score` object, adds it to the proper position in the `Leaderboard`, and then returns an `int` representing the position of the new record, where 0 would be the position of the record with the highest point value, followed by 1 for the second-highest, and so on.

Write one test case for each of the following user stories that verifies the intended behavior. You can assume that the `Leaderboard` class includes a no-argument constructor for initializing an empty `Leaderboard` with a default maximum size of 100 and a method `int size()` that returns the number of records contained in the `Leaderboard`.

1. A new `Leaderboard` is created, which should be empty at first. Then, a first score is added. Test that the `Leaderboard` is empty after being constructed, and that it has the proper size after the first `Score` is added and that `addNewScore()` returns the correct value after the first addition.

2. A new `Leaderboard` is created, and then three scores are added to it in some order. A new score is then added which is neither the highest nor lowest score. Test that after this new score is added that the `Leaderboard` has the correct size and that the correct value is returned by `addNewScore()`.

Solution:

Perfect Java syntax is not important. There are also several similar solutions that are acceptable.

```
1   @Test
2   public void testAddEmpty() {
3       Leaderboard l = new Leaderboard();
4       assertEquals(0, l.size());
5
6       int actual = l.addNewScore(new Score(5, "Harry"));
7
8       assertEquals(0, actual);
9       assertEquals(1, l.size());
10  }
11
12  @Test
13  public void testAddThreeThenOne() {
14      Leaderboard l = new Leaderboard();
15      for (int i = 0; i < 3; i++) {
16          l.addNewScore(new Score(5 * i, "Harry"));
17      }
18      int actual = l.addNewScore(new Score(7, "new"));
19      assertEquals(4, l.size());
20      assertEquals(1, actual);
21  }
22
```

Question 3. Analyze the Runtime: Adding a New Score

What is the runtime of this array-based implementation of addNewScore? Justify your answer. `System.arrayCopy()` is a handy built-in for copying n elements from an array in $O(n)$ time.

```
1     public int addNewScore(Score s) {
2         // If scores is full, copy elements over to a bigger array before starting
3         if (numEntries == scores.length) {
4             Score[] newScores = new Score[scores.length + 10];
5             System.arraycopy(scores, 0, newScores, 0, scores.length);
6             scores = newScores;
7         }
8         // Find the index where the new score should live...
9         int idx = 0;
10        while (idx < numEntries && scores[idx].compareTo(s) < 0) {
11            idx++;
12        }
13        // ...shift all elements at this position over to the right by one...
14        System.arraycopy(scores, idx, scores, idx + 1, numEntries - idx);
15        // ...and place the new Score.
16        scores[idx] = s;
17        return numEntries++;
18    }
```

Solution:

The first conditional related to making room for new elements is linear ($O(n)$) in the number of elements already present in the leaderboard since all n existing elements must be copied one-by-one.

The while loop to find the index of insertion may take $O(n)$ time in the worst case because the search starts at the left (`idx = 0`) and increases up to `numEntries` $= n$. Even if you are lucky and insert at an early index, the number of elements copied with `System.arraycopy()` is as many as `numEntries - idx`, which is n when the index of insertion is 0. Therefore, the process of finding the insertion point and inserting there is always $O(n)$ (in fact, $\Theta(n)$).

The rest is constant time.